Paucisymptomatic Migrated Intrauterine Contraceptive Device to the Sigmoid Colon: A Case Report
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Abstract

Background: Migration of intrauterine contraceptive device (IUCD) to adjacent organs is rare but the most serious complication. Withdrawal of any migrated IUCD is advisable even if asymptomatic and Laparoscopic removal remain the gold standard, however sometimes finding the device intra-operatively is challenging for the surgeon.

Case presentation: We report a case of laparoscopic removal of migrated IUCD to the sigmoid colon. Computed tomography (CT) precisely located the migrated device embedded in the sigmoid colon. After failure of endoscopic attempt to remove the device, laparoscopy was then performed. On initial laparoscopic examination no adhesions were found, the uterus, ovaries & tubes were normal in appearance, however a small bud was identified on the anterior surface of the descending branch of the sigmoid colon. This bud was related to one arm of the T shaped IUCD in fluoroscopy. During dissection around the bud fortunately one arm of the device was seen and then the IUCD was easily extracted, then separate stitches were done to suture the opening of the colon. Postoperative outcomes were uneventful.

Conclusion: Migrated IUCD can be removed safely by laparoscopy. Preoperative precise localization is accurate and Intraoperative fluoroscopy guidance is helpful to find the missed device.
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Background

Intrauterine contraceptive Device (IUCD) widely used since 1965 because of their safety and effectiveness [1]. However perforation, rare but most serious complication, occurs approximately 1 in 1000 insertions [2]. Migration to adjacent organs is also well-known. Retrieval in such situation depends on the location of the migrated intrauterine device and evolves endoscopy, laparoscopy or sometimes (if required) laparotomy. We present here a case of totally migrated IUCD into the sigmoid colon, successfully removed laparoscopically.

Case Report

A 38-year-old woman have had a copper T IUCD placed easily 6 years ago, but the patient became pregnant few months later. The patient reported that ultrasound at this time was normal and the device was not visible. The pregnancy was conducted to term and she delivered vaginally without any complications. Diagnosis of IUCD drop was considered, and the patient has never consulted. She had been completely asymptomatic until recently she developed an abdominal discomfort, slight left iliac fossa and back pain. Pelvic ultrasonography revealed a normal appearing uterus. Abdominal X-ray revealed that the IUCD is seen above the pelvis (Figure 1). The diagnosis of IUCD migration was suspected and computed tomography was ordered which showed migration of the T copper device totally to the sigmoid colon (Figure 2). Colonoscopy was done
to assess the possibility of endoscopic extraction of the device, but failed because of inflammatory stenosis and the T arms were invisible to the endoscopist who suspected that the device is impacted in the rectosigmoid wall. Necessary consent was obtained for laparoscopy and possible laparotomy. Laparoscopy was then performed. On initial laparoscopic examination the uterus, ovaries & tubes were normal in appearance, no adhesions were observed due to inflammation around the device. However a small bud was identified on the an-

**Figure 1**: Migrated IUCD appearance in plain abdominal X-Ray: White arrow.

**Figure 2**: CT scan showing the IUCD in the sigmoid colon: Blue arrow.

**Figure 3**: Intraoperative view: a) A small bud seen in the anterior surface of the sigmoid colon (white arrow); b) Removal of the migrated IUCD from the colon; c) Colon appearance after suture.
to retrieve a displaced IUCD depending on its location. This can be achieved endoscopically, laparoscopically, laparotomy or combination of two techniques [9-10].

Endoscopic retrieval is feasible and safe [1,2,11] and it was attempted in our case but failed because the device was not visible at endoscopy, probably covered by colonic mucosa and inflammatory changes. There are no complications related to endoscopic retrieval that have been reported in the literature, this is probably due to the fact that the perforation is clogged by inflammatory changes. In laparoscopy, sometimes it is difficult to find the migrated IUCD, especially when the device has already passed the wall of a hollow organ, therefore accurate preoperative localization is advised. Precise preoperative localization is also helpful for the surgeon for the choice of patient position on table and trocars placement. For the present case, the device was localized preoperatively in the sigmoid colon, that’s why we have chosen to put the patient in position as for sigmoid colon resection. Gill, et al. reported a conversion rate to laparotomy of 34.6% in a systematic review and adhesions were reported to be present in the majority of cases requiring laparotomy [4]. Often the device can be seen easily in the first laparoscopic view, but sometimes the first laparoscopic view is totally normal. In such situation fluoroscopy may be very helpful to the surgeon. In our case the only abnormality found in the laparoscopic view was a small bud on the anterior surface of the sigmoid colon; this was effectively related to the migrated IUCD as shown by Intraoperative fluoroscopy.

Conclusion

Perforation and migration is a rare but serious complication related to IUCD insertion. This prompts us to check carefully every time after device insertion and annual monitoring. Precise preoperative localization is accurate, better with computed tomography. Laparoscopic removal is feasible and safe. Surgeon should not hesitate to use helpful tools such as fluoroscopy guidance if available.
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