Ghosh et al. Int Arch Subst Abuse Rehabil 2019, 1:002

DOI: 10.23937/iasar-2017/1710002

Volume 1 | Issue 1 Open Access



International Archives of **Substance Abuse and Rehabilitation**

REVIEW ARTICLE

Alcohol Biomarkers and their Relevance in Detection of Alcohol Consumption in Clinical Settings

Shayani Ghosh, Raka Jain*, Sonali Jhanjee, Ravindra Rao and Ashwani Kumar Mishra

National Drug Dependence Treatment Centre, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India

*Corresponding author: Prof. Raka Jain, Professor, National Drug Dependence Treatment Centre, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Room No. 4090, 4th Floor, Teaching Block, Ansari Nagar, New Delhi - 110 029, India, Tel: 91-11-26593595(O), Fax: 91-11-26588663

Abstract

Alcohol use disorder is a growing public health concern worldwide. Accurate assessment is an important step towards effective management of the patient suffering from alcohol use disorder. Self-report often lacks accuracy and may be misleading in a hesitant patient. The use of biochemical laboratory measures such as alcohol biomarkers, often helps in obtaining independent estimations of alcohol use. The traditional biomarkers (such as Aspartate Aminotransferase, Alanine Aminotransferase or Mean Corpuscular Volume) have lower specificity as number of other comorbid disease conditions can independently increase their levels. Additionally, they increase after prolonged exposure to alcohol, and recede to baseline levels after several weeks to months of alcohol abstinence. The recently detected advanced biomarkers (such as 5-Hydroxytrptophol, Ethyl Glucuronide and Fatty Acid Ethyl Esters) are direct products of ethanol and are relatively unaffected by other disease conditions. These biomarkers can be detected soon after a moderate-heavy bout of alcohol use and persist in the body fluids for a shorter period of time. Such biomarkers can help in detection of shorter period of episodic alcohol use also. For better treatment and good outcome, clinicians must therefore be aware of the various advantages and limitations to make optimal use of each biomarkers used in detection of alcohol. This review provides an insight about the different alcohol biomarkers, their advantages and disadvantages in the clinical aspects, and a brief overview of the variety of future biomarkers for detection of alcohol use and drinking patterns.

Keywords

Alcohol dependence, Screening for alcohol use, Alcoholbiomarkers, Combination of alcohol biomarkers

Introduction

Alcoholism ranks as one of the leading threats to the health and safety of people worldwide [1]. According to World Health Organisation (WHO), 2010, 38.3% of the world is reported to consume alcohol regularly. 3.3 million deaths every year result from harmful use of alcohol [2]. The Global Status report released by WHO, 2010, also revealed around 30% of Indian Population are involved in drinking with over 11% indulge in binge drinking [2]. At national level, most doctors and health agencies have reported alcoholism as one of the leading causes of liver cirrhosis and failure.

Assessment - The First Step in Effective Management

The first, and perhaps one of the most important, step in effective management of alcohol use disorder is initial assessment of the patient. The assessment relies heavily on the clinician accurately eliciting details of alcohol use from the patient. Additionally, several standardized questionnaires have been developed to improve the validity and reliability of assessments. These include CAGE questionnaire [3], Michigan Alcoholism Screening Test, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) [4], and the Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST) [5], which have been developed for screening purpose. The validation of these questionaries' varies, and also their accuracy varies in different population [6]. However, all such queries, including the questionnaires, rely mostly upon patient's self-report. Despite its widespread use,



Citation: Ghosh S, Jain R, Jhanjee S, Rao R, Mishra AK (2019) Alcohol Biomarkers and their Relevance in Detection of Alcohol Consumption in Clinical Settings. Int Arch Subst Abuse Rehabil 1:002. doi. org/10.23937/iasar-2017/1710002

Accepted: January 19, 2019: Published: January 21, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 Ghosh S, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

self-reports can lack accuracy and reliability at times. The problems are not due to issues of honesty of patient's report alone; patients can err on the report of quantity of alcohol consumed, as they do not measure or count their drinks during consumption, and hence provide a guesstimate [6]. Family members can help in providing information on the duration of alcohol consumed, and complications due to alcohol use. However, they often fail to provide details of quantity of alcohol consumed by the patients.

Laboratory Based Measures

Various laboratory-based estimates can play a key role in improving the accuracy of alcohol use. One set of estimates can be to assess the extent of alcoholinduced damage to different organs. These may include assessment of liver damage by measuring serum bilirubin, liver enzymes, or renal function tests to assess any kidney damage [7]. However, these estimates do not provide measures of alcohol use. A more accurate way to assess alcohol use is analysis of alcohol biomarkers: specific biological pointer of alcohol consumption measured in tissues, cells, body fluids, detecting any changes in the patient's health [8]. They are efficient tools for clinicians providing a proper assessment to patient's recent and past drinking activities [7]. It helps the clinicians with information like patient's recent drinking pattern; history of heavy drinking habits as well as whether the drinking was heavy or moderate.

This article presents an update on the alcohol biomarkers and their relevance in clinical settings.

Alcohol biomarkers

Gamma Glutamyl Transferase (GGT): Gamma Glutamyl Transferase is a membrane bound glycolprotein enzyme made up of both proteins and carbohydrates [9]. It aids digestion, found abundantly in liver cells and is involved in bile production [10,11]. GGT is commonly used biomarker for indicating alcoholinduced liver damage and has immense utility in primary health care [12,13].

Serum levels of GGT rise in response to alcohol consumption, varies between individuals and within individuals according to the phase in their drinking history [9,14]. A positive correlation between ethanol intake and serum GGT activity have been established in many studies. The measurement of serum GGT is limited as a primary tool due to its poor specificity and sensitivity [15]. The minimal alcohol consumption required for having an elevated GGT is about 74 g/week for men and 60 g/week for women [16]. GGT levels typically rises after heavy alcohol intake that has continued for several weeks, rather than episodic, heavy drinking [17,18]. The level of GGT generally returns to normal reference range in 2-6 weeks after abstinence, as half-life of GGT is 14-26 days (Table 1). Therefore, GGT levels is used as an indicator of chronic consumption of alcohol [19]. GGT never elevates with a single dose of alcohol unless the person has previously been an excessive drinker [20]. GGT increases more rapidly with resumption of alcohol consumption in those with a history of excessive drinking, particularly if there has been a past history of raised GGT [21]. Its clinical utility is limited due to high rate of false positive results as it gets elevated in nonalcoholic liver diseases such as biliary cirrhosis, obesity, pancreatitis, prostate-related diseases, diabetes, hypertension, hypertriglyceridemia, smoking and also with some medications (hormones and anticonvulsants) [9,20,22,23]. However, test for GGT being inexpensive, is included in Liver Function Test (LFT) panels [24].

Mean Corpuscular Volume (MCV) of red blood cells: MCV is a traditional non-protein alcoholic biomarker [25,26]. Regular alcohol drinking leads to increase in the size of RBC [27,28]. MCV increases with excessive alcohol intake after four to eight weeks and return to its normal size within two to four months (Table 1) [29].

MCV may increase among individuals reporting moderate levels of drinking (< 40 g/day) by 1-2 fl as compared to abstainers [30,31]. Population studies have shown that MCV levels are elevated in 4% of adults, of which 65% are likely related to alcohol consumption [32]. MCV lacks sensitivity when used individually and

Table 1: Sensitivity, specificity, drinking behaviour and window of assessment of alcohol biomarkers [7,8,23,65,73].

Biomarkers	Sample Source	Sensitivity%	Specificity%	Drinking Behaviour	Window of Assessment
GGT	Serum/Plasma	40-50	80-90	Chronic Heavy Drinking	2-3 weeks
MCV	Blood	60-90	30-75	Chronic Heavy Drinking	2-4 months
ALT/AST	Serum/Plasma	15-69	50-95	Chronic Heavy Drinking	2-3 weeks
CDT	Serum/Plasma	80-90	85-95	Heavy alcohol use	2-3 weeks
5-HTOL	Urine	n/a**	n/a**	Recent Use	5-20 hours
PEth	Blood	80-90	90-95	Heavy alcohol use*	2-4 weeks
FAEE	Serum	> 75	> 75	Recent Use	2-3 days
FAEE	Hair	100	90	Chronic Heavy Drinking	Several Months depending upon hair length
EtG	Urine	73-75	55-60	Recent Use	2-5 days
EtG	Hair	70-90	80-95	Chronic Heavy Drinking	Several Months depending upon hair length

^{*=} more than 60 grams per day (4-5 standard drinks); **n/a = data not available.

has limited specificity, as false positive test can be seen in cigarette smokers, liver diseases, vitamin B12 or folic acid deficiency, thyroid disease, various haematological diseases, or in anaemia [9,30,31].

Serum Amino Transferases (AST, ALT): Aspartate Aminotransferase (SGOT, Serum Glutamic Oxalo-Acetic Transaminase) and Alanine Aminotransferase (SGPT, Serum Glutamic Pyruvic Transaminase) are building blocks of proteins as they help to metabolize amino acids [33]. ALT is found predominantly in the cytosol, whereas AST activity is highest in the mitochondria [34]. They are good indicators of liver disease when interpreted together [35].

Enhanced aminotransferase levels in alcohol dependent patients reflect liver damage [36]. However, the levels of these enzymes remain elevated in patients abstinent to alcohol with chronic liver disease [37]. Like GGT, aminotransferases are not increased by a single episode of excessive drinking [38].

ALT is more specific to alcohol induced liver cell injury compared to AST which is also found in heart, muscle, kidney and brain cells [39]. Any injury or disease that can increase the level of cellular injury or death in these organs will cause an elevation of AST [8]. ALT levels can also increase in extrahepatic conditions such as type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and insulin resistance [40,41]. Typically, less than 50% subjects entering treatment for alcohol use disorder have aminotransferases above the reference range [42].

Carbohydrate-Deficient Transferrin (CDT): Transferrin is a glycoprotein that transports iron in the body. Normal individual's transferrin contains four to six Sialic acid (carbohydrate) molecules. Alcohol consumption interferes with the ability of sialic acids to attach to transferrin and causes a deficiency of sialic acid content in transferrin, hence the name carbohydrate-deficient transferrin [8].

CDT is raised when the daily alcohol consumption is greater than 60 grams for two to three weeks. The elevated CDT levels due to heavy drinking also normalize after abstinence in two to four weeks [19]. CDT showed 100% specificity and 91% sensitivity in healthy individuals after 60 g of daily consumption ethanol during a 10-day period [43]. Hence, CDT is a sensitive marker to detect relapse in alcohol dependent people (Table 1) [43,44]. Serum CDT can differentiate between heavy drinkers and non-drinkers, and between heavy drinkers and social drinkers (p < 0.0005 for both), but not between social drinkers and non-drinkers (p = 0.063) [45]. CDT lacks sufficient sensitivity to detect binge drinking [46] but is highly specific for measuring alcohol consumption, showing low rates of false positive.

Another disadvantage with the CDT marker is that there is a relatively high rate of false negative results: Studies have reported that some patients with heavy drinking history did not show elevated levels of CDT [47]. However, CDT is not influenced by any liver diseases [48]. Hence, CDT positivity, unlike other biomarkers such as GGT or aminotransferases, is independent of liver damage. With high specificity, CDT shows better performance than other traditional biomarkers such as GGT, ALT, AST, MCV [46].

5-Hydroxytrptophol (5-HTOL): Another biomarker that focuses on recent moderate-to-high drinking levels is 5-Hydroxytrptophol (5-HTOL) [49]. Serotonin (known as 5-hydroxytryptamine, 5-HT) is a monoamine neurotransmitter which forms an intermediate aldehyde, 5-hydroxyindole-3-acetaldehyde (5-HIAL) by the action of monoamine oxidase (MAO). This intermediate is oxidized by an aldehyde dehydrogenase to 5-Hydroxyindoleacetic Acid (5-HIAA). However, in the presence of alcohol, the intermediate is reduced to 5-Hydroxytrptophol (5-HTOL) by alcohol dehydrogenase [50]. To improve the accuracy in routine clinical use, 5-HTOL is reported as a ratio to 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) instead of creatinine, which can compensate for urine dilution and accounts for dietary source of serotonin [51].

5-HTOL level remains increased in the urine for several hours even with ethanol being no longer measurable in body fluids or breathe [51-53]. 5-HTOL is detected for up to 24 hours after last drink; the detection window of the 5-HTOL/5-HIAA ratio in urine is approximately 5-15 hours longer than that of ethanol in urine. Therefore 5-HTOL is considered as a 24 hours marker of alcohol use [49,54]. This alcohol biomarker has a specificity of almost 100% and sensitivity of around 77% at a cut off value of 15 pmol/nmol for consuming 50 g or more of ethanol (Table 1). 5-HTOL displays high sensitivity and specificity and is uninfluenced by age, gender, liver diseases, or medications other than disulfiram [49]. Consumption of 50 g or more increases the 5-HTOL/5-HIAA ratio in urine significantly, with higher ratios indicative of more ethanol consumption, in a dose dependent manner. 5-HTOL appears uninfluenced by age, gender, liver diseases, or medications other than an aldehyde dehydrogenase inhibitor such as disulfiram which causes an abnormal rise in 5HTOL/5HIAA ratio [49].

Phosphatidylethanol (PEth): PEth is a specific metabolite of ethanol. Phosphatidyl choline is hydrolysed by phospholipase-D to form phosphatidic acid. In the presence of alcohol, PEth is formed at the expense of phosphatidic acid through transphosphatidylation of phospholipase-D [39].

Peth can be detected in blood after consumption of a minimum of approximately 1000 gram of alcohol with an assessment window of 1-2 weeks [55-57]. It requires about 15 days of abstinence for PEth to return to normal values and has a half-life of approximately seven days in blood in alcohol users but can vary considerably between three to nine days [58]. PEth has higher

sensitivity and specificity compared to other traditional markers and helps to detect even low/moderate drinking (Table 1) [56].

Peth is better than CDT to detect relapse especially with quantity of alcohol consumption not being high enough for CDT to become elevated. PEth, not being influenced by any liver disease, is useful in monitoring heavy drinkers with hepatic pathology [59,60]. PEth proved better and stable for assay results of dry blood spot cards, suggesting improving potential of PEth for routine applications [61]. Thus, PEth tests can monitor alcohol consumption and can help identify early signs of harmful alcohol consumption.

Fatty Acid Ethyl Esters (FAEE): Fatty Acid Ethyl Esters (FAEE) are breakdown products of non-oxidative pathway of alcohol metabolism, formed by esterification of endogenous free fatty acids and ethanol by specific and non-specific enzymes in blood and several tissues [8,62]. FAEE, formed from several fatty acids and ethanol, is basically a combination of various esters. With the alcohol component being glycerol, several monoglycerides, diglycerides or triglycerides are formed [63]. Although approximately 15-20 fatty acid ethyl ester can be detected in a single specimen, frequently the sum of the concentrations of four fatty acid ethyl esters (ethyl oleate, ethyl palmitate, ethyl myristate and ethyl stearate) is commonly used [8,62,64].

Recent studies demonstrate that FAEEs are sensitive and specific markers for distinguishing social drinkers from heavy or alcohol-dependent drinkers [65]. FAEE levels have been detected from blood 24 hours after last drink, with blood ethanol level increased for only 8 hours (Table 1) [66]. Further, FAEE levels showed elevation after ethanol consumption for at least 99 hours in heavy drinkers [67]. Also, serum concentrations of Ethyl Oleate in chronic alcohol users is observed to be higher than in binge drinkers, thus distinguish between binge drinkers and alcohol dependent persons [68]. Recent studies have measured FAEE in hair observing that it can be detected in hair for months [69,70].

FAEE have a long half-life in adipose, hence it may be useful for forensic applications as well because adipose tissue samples are readily obtainable. Refaai, et al. analysed FAEE concentrations and speciation in solid organs and tissues as markers of pre-mortem ethanol intake. They concluded that the mass of FAEE in liver and adipose tissue can serve as post-mortem markers of pre-mortem ethanol intake when blood samples cannot be obtained [71].

Ethyl Glucuronide (EtG): EtG (ethyl β-D-6-glucuronide) is a direct PHASE II metabolite of ethanol [72]. This minor non-oxidative metabolite of alcohol forms in the liver after alcohol consumption [73] when ethanol reacts with glucuronic acid in the presence of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UDP-GT) enzyme, leading to the formation of ethyl β-D-6-glucuronide (EtG) [74].

EtG is a sensitive marker of alcohol consumption that can be detected for an extended time period after the complete elimination of alcohol from the body providing a strong indication of recent drinking. EtG can be detected in body fluids shortly after its intake and dose-dependently up to 80 hours after the complete elimination of alcohol from the body [24,72]. With chronic alcohol consumption, EtG peaks 2 to 3.5 hours later in blood than ethanol and remains in blood up to 36 hours [33,72]. It is capable of detecting relapse in patients thus enabling the therapist to intervene at an early stage of relapse [75]. Measurable concentrations of EtG (> 0.1 mg/L) are detectable in serum for more than 10 hours, whereas ethanol is detectable for over 8 hrs [54,75].

In urine, EtG can be detected up to 13-20 hours with small quantity (~0.1 g/kg body weight) of ethanol intake (Table 1) [54]. However, after heavy consumption it can be detected for up to three to five days [24,54]. EtG concentration in urine peaked approxiamately four hours after ethanol intake. EtG cut-off of 100 ng/ mL is most likely to detect heavy drinking for up to five days. Cutoffs of ≥ 500 ng/mL are likely to only detect heavy drinking during the previous day [74]. Also, EtG was demonstrated to become concentrated in the urine to reach much higher levels than in blood. Urinary EtG has much longer detection time compared with blood (range 14-24 h) making urinary EtG a more sensitive biomarker of recent drinking [54]. However, the absolute concentration of EtG in urine after a given dose of ethanol may vary considerably between, and also within, individuals, as it is influenced by several factors besides the amount of alcohol consumed, such as urine dilution and time of voiding [75].

EtG can also be detected in hair that can help in evaluation of chronic ethanol use over several months from a single sample [64,76,77]. Hair analysis provides a long detection window and potential establishment of longer-term drinking history. As a long-term biomarker, hair EtG is highly advantageous due to its ability to provide consumption trend for several months from a single non-invasive sampling. Also, in the absence of self-reports from patients, segmental hair analysis would provide a proportional relationship between EtG concentration in hair and considerable progress in the alcohol consumption monitoring [78,79].

EtG concentrations can be affected by age, male gender, tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) use, kidney disease, creatinine and total grams of ethanol consumed in the last month. Male gender and kidney disease were associated with decreases in urine EtG concentration, whereas THC use was associated with an increase [76]. Slightest incidental exposure to alcohol (such as cooking wines, flavouring extracts, over-the-counter cold medications) may result in positive urinary EtG. Additionally, consumption of 'non-alcoholic' drinks, use

DOI: 10.23937/iasar-2017/1710002 ISSN: 2690-263X

Table 2: Key findings of combination biomarkers as reported by various studies.

Authors	Biomarkers	Sample Source	Study Population	Key Findings
Bell, et al. [88]	GGT, CDT, AST, ALT and MCV	Serum/Plasma	400 Alcoholic patients observed for over 4 weeks	Highest sensitivities for CDT and GGT (65% to 73%). Lower sensitivity for AST, ALT, and MCV (50%, 35%, and 52%, respectively)
Doyle, et al. [89]	FAEE	Serum	Healthy subjects ingested a weight-adjusted amount of ethanol at a fixed rate	Positive over a period of 24 hour
Scouller, et al. [90]	CDT, GGT	Serum/Plasma	Meta-analysis of 110 clinical studies	CDT was little better than GGT in detecting high or intermediate-risk alcohol consumption in a large, multicentre, predominantly community-based sample
Wurst, et al. [91]	Breath, urinary ethanol, urinary EtG, CDT, PEth, GGT, MCV	Breath/Serum/ Plasma/Urine/ Whole blood	Forensic psychiatry inpatient (committed a substance-related offence).	Ethyl glucuronide is capable of detecting alcohol consumption in cases where neither traditional biological state markers of alcohol intake nor clinical impression gave an indication
Borucki, et al. [68]	FAEE	Serum	Heavy drinkers	Remains elevated at least up to 44 hours
Borucki, et al. [92]	Serum FAEE, Urinary EtG, 5-HTOL/5-HIAA in Urine	Serum/Urine	Sixteen (14 male, 2 female) heavy alcohol drinkers	FAEE declined until 15 hours and 5-HTOL/5-HIAA declined after 29 hours, however EtG concentration showed 100% sensitivity for 39 hours
Chrostek, et al. [44]	CDT, MCV, AST, ALT, GGT and Sialic Acid (SA)	Serum/Plasma	Subjects recently abstinent from alcohol consumption	CDT appeared to have higher sensitivity however the sensitivity decreased for all studied alcohol markers when the period of abstinence was longer than one week
Høiseth, et al. [54]	EtG	Urine	Ten male volunteers consumed ethanol at a fixed dose of 0.5 g/kg body weight in a fasted state	Detected up to 13-20 hours with small quantity
Halender, et al. [93]	EtG	Urine	Alcoholic patients undergoing alcohol detoxification	Detected up to 40-90 hours (< 0.5 mg/g)
Morini, et al. [94]	Hair EtG and CDT	Serum/Hair	Subjects with alcoholic history	Superior sensitivity specificity of Hair EtG (then CDT)
Kharbouch, et al. [95]	Hair EtG, CDT, GGT, ATL, AST	Serum/Hair	Teetotallers, low-risk drinkers, at- risk drinkers, or heavy drinkers	Hair EtG diagnostic performance was significantly better
Hastedt, et al. [96]	CDT, MCV, GGT, ALT, AST, Hair EtG and Hair FAEE	Serum/Hair	Social drinkers, non-drinkers and alcoholics group	Hair FAEEs and Hair EtG offered a longer time frame of several months for detecting chronic excessive alcohol consumption than the traditional biomarkers
Alladio, et al. [97]	ALT, AST, CDT, GGT, MCV, EtG, FAEE	Blood/Hair	125 subjects including social and heavy drinkers	Hair FAEEs and Hair EtG offered detection of chronic alcohol consumption

of mouthwash (4 times/day for 3½ days), and use of alcohol-based hand sanitizer can also result in positive urinary EtG [80,81]. Some urine samples may contain yeast that can convert urine glucose to alcohol and subsequently EtG, if stored at room temperature for more than 12 hours [82]. This is a concern especially among persons with diabetes who have high levels of glucose in the urine. False negative also can arise from urinary dilution or from ingestion of choral hydrate medication or from *E. coli* hydrolysis of EtG (in urinary tract infection) [83,84]. To counter this, it is recommended that either urinary creatinine be measured with a cut-off of 25 mg/dl to indicate dilution or EtG be expressed in ratio to creatinine [85-87].

With significant progress in laboratory assessment

of biomarkers to estimate health risks related to excessive alcohol use, no single biomarker at present demonstrates 100% specificity and sensitivity. This can be overcome using combination panels, where tests are combined to increase the likelihood of an accurate diagnosis. (Table 2) summarizes the findings of some studies conducted across the world.

Conclusion

Alcohol biomarkers help a clinician to objectively ascertain the alcohol user's claim of the quantity, frequency and duration of alcohol use. The older biomarkers relied on the effect of alcohol on body systems such as liver (such as AST, ALT) or blood cells (such as MCV). These biomarkers have lower specificity as a number of disease conditions could also produce

raised levels. In addition, these biomarkers raise after prolonged exposure to alcohol, and take time to recede to baseline levels. Hence, detection of recent and shortterm use of alcohol use was a challenge. Public health focus related to alcohol has also undergone change with time. Large-scale population studies have shown that even short-term use of heavy amounts of alcohol can lead to significant morbidity and mortality. This has also simultaneously led to guest for newer biomarkers that can detect recent alcohol use. The biomarkers such as 5-HTOL, urinary EtG and serum FAEE are direct products of ethanol and are relatively unaffected by disease conditions. They are detected soon after a moderateheavy bout of alcohol use and are present in the body fluids for a shorter period of time. However, detection of biomarkers is not cheap, and hence, combining different biomarkers together offers the best solution to detect alcohol use.

References

- Shield KD, Parry C, Rehm J (2013) Chronic diseases and conditions related to alcohol use. Alcohol Res 35: 155-173.
- 2. World Health Organization, & World Health Organization (2014) Management of Substance Abuse Unit. Global status report on alcohol and health, World Health Organization.
- Bradley KA, Bush KR, McDonell MB, Malone T, Fihn SD (1998) Screening for problem drinking: comparison of CAGE and AUDIT. Ambulatory Care Quality Improvement Project (ACQUIP). Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test. J Gen Intern Med 13: 379-388.
- Saunders JB, Aasland OG, Babor TF, de la Fuente JR, Grant M (1993) Development of the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): WHO Collaborative Project on Early Detection of Persons with Harmful Alcohol Consumption--II. Addiction 88: 791-804.
- McNeely J, Strauss SM, Wright S, Rotrosen J, Khan R, et al. (2014) Test-retest reliability of a self-administered Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST) in primary care patients. J Subst Abuse Treat 47: 93-101.
- Steinbauer JR, Cantor SB, Holzer CE 3rd, Volk RJ (1998) Ethnic and sex bias in primary care screening tests for alcohol use disorders. Ann Intern Med 129: 353-362.
- Allen JP, Sillanaukee P, Strid N, Litten R (2003) Biomarkers of heavy drinking. Assessing Alcohol Problems: A Guide for Clinicians and Researchers 03: 37-53.
- 8. Peterson K (2004) Biomarkers for alcohol use and abuse--a summary. Alcohol Res Health 28: 30-37.
- Conigrave KM, Davies P, Haber P, Whitfield JB (2003) Traditional markers of excessive alcohol use. Addiction 98 Suppl 2: 31-43.
- Daeppen JB, Schoenfeld-Smith K, Smith TL, Schuckit MA (1999) Characteristics of alcohol dependent subjects with very elevated levels of Gamma-Glutamyltransferase (GGT). J Stud Alcohol 60: 589-594.
- Hietala J, Koivisto H, Anttila P, Niemelä O (2006) Comparison of the combined marker GGT-CDT and the conventional laboratory markers of alcohol abuse in heavy drinkers, moderate drinkers and abstainers. Alcohol Alcohol 41: 528-533.

- 12. Wu A, Slavin G, Levi AJ (1976) Elevated serum gammaglutamyl-transferase (transpeptidase) and histological liver damage in alcoholism. Am J Gastroenterol 65: 318-323.
- 13. Lee DH, Blomhoff R, Jacobs DR Jr (2004) Is serum gamma glutamyltransferase a marker of oxidative stress? Free Radic Res 38: 535-539.
- Allen JP, Litten RZ (2003) Recommendations on use of biomarkers in alcoholism treatment trials. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 27: 1667-1670.
- 15. Bearer CF, Bailey SM, Hoek JB (2010) Advancing alcohol biomarkers research. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 34: 941-945.
- Sillanaukee P, Massot N, Jousilahti P, Vartiainen E, Sundvall J, et al. (2000) Dose Response of Laboratory Markers to Alcohol Consumption in a General Population. Am J Epidemiol 152: 747-751.
- 17. Gjerde H, Johnsen J, Bjørneboe A, Bjørneboe GE, Mørland J (1988) A comparison of serum carbohydrate-deficient transferrin with other biological markers of excessive drinking. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 48: 1-6.
- Allen JP, Litten RZ, Anton RF, Cross GM (1994) Carbohydrate-deficient transferrin as a measure of immoderate drinking. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 18: 799-812.
- Niemelä O (2016) Biomarker-Based Approaches for Assessing Alcohol Use Disorders. Int J Environ Res Public Health 13: 166.
- 20. Neumann T, Spies C (2003) Use of biomarkers for alcohol use disorders in clinical practice. Addiction 98: 81-91.
- 21. Das SK, Vasudevan DM (2005) Biochemical diagnosis of alcoholism. Indian J Clin Biochem 20: 35-42.
- 22. Nissinen AE, Mäkelä SM, Vuoristo JT, Liisanantti MK, Hannuksela ML, et al. (2008) Immunological detection of in vitro formed phosphatidylethanol-an alcohol biomarker with monoclonal antibodies. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 32: 921-928.
- 23. Niemelä O (2007) Biomarkers in alcoholism. Clin Chim Acta 377: 39-49.
- 24. Tavakoli HR, Hull M, Michael Okasinski L (2011) Review of current clinical biomarkers for the detection of alcohol dependence. Innov Clin Neurosci 8: 26-33.
- 25. Das SK, Nayak P, Vasudevan DM (2003) Biochemical markers for alcohol consumption. Indian J Clin Biochem 18: 111-118.
- Torrente MP, Freeman WM, Vrana KE (2012) Protein biomarkers of alcohol abuse. Expert Rev Proteomics 9: 425-436.
- 27. Medici V, Halsted CH (2013) Folate, alcohol, and liver disease. Mol Nutr Food Res 57: 596-606.
- 28. Maruyama S, Hirayama C, Yamamoto S, Koda M, Udagawa A, et al. (2001) Red blood cell status in alcoholic and non-alcoholic liver disease. J Lab Clin Med 138: 332-337.
- 29. Hietala J, Puukka K, Koivisto H, Anttila P, Niemelä O (2005) Serum Gamma-Glutamyl Transferase In Alcoholics, Moderate Drinkers And Abstainers: Effect On Gt Reference Intervals At Population Level. Alcohol Alcohol 40: 511-514.
- 30. Koivisto H, Hietala J, Anttila P, Parkkila S, Niemelä O (2006) Long-term ethanol consumption and macrocytosis: diagnostic and pathogenic implications. J Lab Clin Med 147: 191-196.
- 31. Nordin G, Martensson AM, Swolin B, Sandberg S, Christensen NJ, et al. (2004) A multicentre study of reference intervals for haemoglobin, basic blood cell counts and erythrocyte indices in the adult population of the Nordic countries. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 64: 385-398.

- 32. Savage DG, Ogundipe A, Allen RH, Stabler SP, Lindenbaum J (2000) Etiology and diagnostic evaluation of macrocytosis. Am J Med Sci 319: 343-352.
- 33. Nanau RM, Neuman MG (2015) Biomolecules and Biomarkers Used in Diagnosis of Alcohol Drinking and in Monitoring Therapeutic Interventions. Biomolecules 5: 1339-1385.
- Pratt DS, Kaplan MM (2000) Evaluation of abnormal liverenzyme results in asymptomatic patients. N Engl J Med 342: 1266-1271.
- 35. Bortolotti F, Tagliaro F (2011) Biomarkers for the Identification of Alcohol Use/Abuse: A Critical Review. Forensic Sci Rev 23: 55-72.
- Yki-Järvinen H (2014) Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease as a cause and a consequence of metabolic syndrome. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2: 901-910.
- 37. Wurst FM, Alling C, Aradottir S, Pragst F, Allen JP, et al. (2005) Emerging biomarkers: new directions and clinical applications. Alcohol Clinic Exp Res 29: 465-473.
- 38. Devgun MS, Dunbar JA, Hagart J, Martin BT, Ogston SA (1985) Effects of acute and varying amounts of alcohol consumption on alkaline phosphatase, aspartate transaminase, and gamma-glutamyltransferase. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 9: 235-237.
- 39. Bianchi V, Raspagni A, Arfini C (2013) Emerging Biomarkers of Alcohol Consumption? Clinical and Forensic Applications. The Open Toxicology Journal 6: 27-33.
- Ghouri N, Preiss D, Sattar N (2010) Liver enzymes, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, and incident cardiovascular disease: A narrative review and clinical perspective of prospective data. Hepatology 52: 1156-1161.
- 41. Lee TH, Kim WR, Benson JT, Therneau TM, Melton LJ (2008) Serum aminotransferase activity and mortality risk in a United States community. Hepatology 47: 880-887.
- Helander A, Carlsson AV, Borg S (1996) Longitudinal comparison of carbohydrate-deficient transferrin and gammaglutamyl transferase: complementary markers of excessive alcohol consumption. Alcohol Alcohol 31: 101-107.
- 43. Stibler H (1991) Carbohydrate-deficient transferrin in serum: A new marker of potentially harmful alcohol consumption reviewed. Clin Chem 37: 2029-2037.
- 44. Chrostek L, Cylwik B, Szmitkowski M, Korcz W (2006) The diagnostic accuracy of carbohydrate deficient transferrin, sialic acid and commonly used markers of alcohol abuse during abstinence. Clinica Chimica Acta 364: 167-171.
- 45. Pirro V, Valente V, Oliveri P, De Bernardis A, Salomone A, et al. (2011) Chemometric evaluation of nine alcohol biomarkers in a large population of clinically-classified subjects: pre-eminence of ethyl glucuronide concentration in hair for confirmatory classification. Anal Bioanal Chem 401: 2153-2164.
- 46. Lott JA, Curtis LW, Thompson A, Gechlik GA, Rund DA (1998) Reported alcohol consumption and the serum carbohydrate-deficient transferrin test in third-year medical students. Clinica Chimica Acta 276: 129-141.
- 47. Arndt T (2001) Carbohydrate-deficient transferrin as a marker of chronic alcohol abuse: A critical review of preanalysis, analysis, and interpretation. Clin Chem 47: 13-27.
- 48. DiMartini A, Day N, Lane T, Beisler AT, Dew MA, et al. (2001) Carbohydrate deficient transferrin in abstaining patients with end-stage liver disease. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 25: 1729-1733
- 49. Beck O, Helander A (2003) 5-hydroxytryptophol as a marker

- for recent alcohol intake. Addiction 98 Suppl 2: 63-72.
- Anders H, Beck O, Borg S (2009) 5-Hydroxytryptophol (5HTOL), a New Sensitive Urinary Test of Recent Alcohol Consumption. Self-Report and Biochemical Measures of Alcohol Consumption 98: 223-228.
- 51. Helander A, Wachenfeldt J, Hiltunen A, Beck O, Liljeberg P, et al. (1999) Comparison of urinary 5-hydroxytryptophol, breath ethanol, and self-report for detection of recent alcohol use during outpatient treatment: a study on methadone patients. Drug Alcohol Depend 56: 33-38.
- 52. Bendtsen P, Jones AW, Helander A (1998) Urinary excretion of methanol and 5-hydroxytryptophol as biochemical markers of recent drinking in the hangover state. Alcohol Alcohol 33: 431-438.
- 53. Sarkola T, Dahl H, Eriksson CJ, Helander A (2003) Urinary ethyl glucuronide and 5-hydroxytryptophol levels during repeated ethanol ingestion in healthy human subjects. Alcohol Alcohol 38: 347-351.
- 54. Høiseth G, Bernard JP, Karinen R, Johnsen L, Helander A, et al. (2007) A pharmacokinetic study of ethyl glucuronide in blood and urine: Applications to forensic toxicology. Forensic Sci Int 172: 119-124.
- 55. Varga A, Hansson P, Lundqvist C, Alling C (1998) Phosphatidylethanol in Blood as a Marker of Ethanol Consumption in Healthy Volunteers: Comparison with Other Markers. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 22: 1832-1837.
- 56. Stewart SH, Reuben A, Brzezinski WA, Koch DG, Basile J, et al. (2009) Preliminary evaluation of phosphatidylethanol and alcoholconsumption in patients with liver disease and hypertension. Alcohol Alcohol 44: 464-467.
- 57. Varga A, Hansson P, Johnson G, Alling C (2000) Normalization rate and cellular localization of phosphatidylethanol in whole blood from chronic alcoholics. Clin Chim Acta 299: 141-150.
- Litten RZ, Bradley AM, Moss HB (2010) Alcohol biomarkers in applied settings: Recent advances and future research opportunities. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 34: 955-967.
- 59. Aradottir S, Asanovska G, Gjerss S, Hansson P, Alling C (2006) PHosphatidylethanol (PEth) concentrations in blood are correlated to reported alcohol intake in alcohol-dependent patients. Alcohol Alcohol 41: 431-437.
- 60. Hartmann S, Aradottir S, Graf M, Wiesbeck G, Lesch O, et al. (2017) Phosphatidylethanol as a sensitive and specific biomarker-comparison with gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase, mean corpuscular volume and carbohydrate-deficient transferrin. Addict Biol 12: 81-84.
- 61. Bakhireva LN, Shrestha S, Gutierrez HL, Berry M, Schmitt C, et al. (2016) Stability of Phosphatidylethanol in Dry Blood Spot Cards. Alcohol Alcohol 51: 275-280.
- Laposata M (1997) Fatty acid ethyl esters: short-term and long-term serum markers of ethanol intake. Clin Chem 43: 1527-1534.
- 63. De Giovanni N, Donadio G, Chiarotti M (2007) The reliability of fatty acid ethyl esters (FAEE) as biological markers for the diagnosis of alcohol abuse. J Anal Toxicol 31: 93-97.
- 64. Pragst F, Balikova MA (2006) State of the art in hair analysis for detection of drug and alcohol abuse. Clin Chim Acta 370: 17-49.
- 65. Wurst FM, Alexson S, Wolfersdorf M, Bechtel G, Forster S, et al. (2004) Concentration of fatty acid ethyl esters in hair of alcoholics: Comparison to other biological state markers and self-reported-ethanol intake. Alcohol Alcohol 39: 33-38.
- 66. Doyle KM, Cluette-Brown JE, Dube DM, Bernhardt TG,

- Morse CR, et al. (1996) Fatty acid ethyl esters in the blood as markers for ethanol intake. JAMA 276: 1152-1156.
- 67. Borucki K, Dierkes J, Wartberg J, Westphal S, Genz A, et al. (2007) In heavy drinkers, fatty acid ethyl esters remain elevated for up to 99 hours. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 31: 423-427.
- 68. Borucki K, Kunstmann S, Dierkes J, Westphal S, Diekmann S, et al. (2004) In heavy drinkers fatty acid ethyl esters in the serum are increased for 44 hr after ethanol consumption. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 28: 1102-1106.
- 69. Pragst F, Yegles M (2008) Determination of fatty acid ethyl esters (FAEE) and ethyl glucuronide (EtG) in hair: a promising way for retrospective detection of alcohol abuse during pregnancy? Ther Drug Monit 30: 255-263.
- 70. Wurst FM, Wiesbeck GA, Metzger JW, Weinmann W (2004) On sensitivity, specificity, and the influence of various parameters on ethyl glucuronide levels in urineresults from the WHO/ISBRA study. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 28: 1220-1228.
- 71. Refaai MA, Nguyen PN, Steffensen TS, Evans RJ, Cluette-Brown JE, et al. (2002) Liver and adipose tissue fatty acid ethyl esters obtained at autopsy are postmortem markers for premortem ethanol intake. Clin Chem 48: 77-83.
- 72. Zimmer H, Schmitt G, Aderjan R (2002) Preliminary immunochemical test for the determination of ethyl glucuronide in serum and urine: comparison of screening method results with gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. J Anal Toxicol 26: 11-16.
- 73. Wurst FM, Seidl S, Alt A, Metzger J (2000) Direct ethanol metabolite ethyl glucuronide- Its value as alcohol intake and recurrence marker, methods of detection and prospects. Psychiatr Prax 27: 367-371.
- 74. McDonell MG, Skalisky J, Leickly E, McPherson S, Battalio S, et al. (2015) Using ethyl glucuronide in urine to detect light and heavy drinking in alcohol dependent outpatients. Drug Alcohol Depend 157: 184-187.
- 75. Palmer RB (2009) A review of the use of ethyl glucuronide as a marker for ethanol consumption in forensic and clinical medicine. Semin Diagn Pathol 26: 18-27.
- 76. Wurst FM, Kempter C, Seidl S, Alt A (1999) Glucuronide - A marker of alcohol consumption and a relapse marker with clinical and forensic implications. Alcohol Alcohol 34: 71-77.
- 77. Wurst FM, Skipper GE, Weinmann W (2003) Ethyl glucuronide--the direct ethanol metabolite on the threshold from science to routine use. Addiction 98: 51-61.
- 78. Gareri J, Rao C, Koren G (2014) Examination of sex differences in fatty acid ethyl ester and ethyl glucuronide hair analysis. Drug Test Anal 6: 30-36.
- Cabarcos P, Álvarez I, Tabernero MJ, Bermejo AM (2015)
 Determination of direct alcohol markers: a review. Anal Bioanal Chem 407: 4907-4925.
- 80. Appenzeller BM, Agirman R, Neuberg P, Yegles M, Wennig R (2007) Segmental determination of ethyl glucuronide in hair: a pilot study. Forensic Sci Int 173: 87-92.
- 81. Reisfield GM, Goldberger BA, Pesce AJ, Crews BO, Wilson GR, et al. (2011) Ethyl Glucuronide, Ethyl Sulfate, and Ethanol in Urine after Sustained Exposure to an Ethanol-Based Hand Sanitizer. J Anal Toxicol 35: 264-268.
- 82. Musshoff F, Albermann E, Madea B (2010) Ethyl glucuronide and ethyl sulfate in urine after consumption of various beverages and foods-misleading results? Int J Legal Med 124: 623-630.

- 83. Kissack JC, Bishop J, Roper AL (2008) Ethylglucuronide as a biomarker for ethanol detection. Pharmacotherapy 28: 769-781.
- 84. Arndt T, Gierten B, Güssregen B, Werle A, Grüner J (2009) False-positive ethyl glucuronide immunoassay screening associated with chloral hydrate medication as confirmed by LC-MS/MS and self-medication. Forensic Sci Int 184: e27-e29.
- 85. Helander A, Dahl H (2005) Urinary tract infection: A risk factor for false-negative urinary ethyl glucuronide but not ethyl sulfate in the detection of recent alcohol consumption. Clin Chem 51: 1728-1730.
- 86. Goll M, Schmitt G, Ganssmann B, Aderjan RE (2002) Excretion profiles of ethyl glucuronide in human urine after internal dilution. J Anal Toxicol 26: 262-266.
- 87. Dahl H, Stephanson N, Beck O, Helander A (2002) Comparison of urinary excretion characteristics of ethanol and ethyl glucuronide. J Anal Toxicol 26: 201-204.
- 88. Bell H, Tallaksen CM, Try K, Haug E (1994) Carbohydrate-deficient transferrin and other markers of high alcohol consumption: a study of 502 patients admitted consecutively to a medical department. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 18: 1103-1108.
- 89. Doyle KM, Bird DA, Al-Salihi S, Hallaq Y, Cluette-Brown JE, et al. (1994) Fatty acid ethyl esters are present in human serum after ethanol ingestion. J Lipid Res 35: 428-437.
- 90. Scouller K, Conigrave KM, Macaskill P, Irwig L, Whitfield JB (2000) Should we use carbohydrate-deficient transferrin instead of gamma-glutamyltransferase for detecting problem drinkers? A systematic review and metaanalysis. Clin Chem 46: 1894-1902.
- 91. Wurst FM, Vogel R, Jachau K, Varga A, Alling C, et al. (2003) Ethyl glucuronide discloses recent covert alcohol use not detected by standard testing in forensic psychiatric inpatients. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 27: 471-476.
- 92. Borucki K, Schreiner R, Dierkes J, Jachau K, Krause D, et al. (2005) Detection of recent ethanol intake with new markers: comparison of fatty acid ethyl esters in serum and of ethyl glucuronide and the ratio of 5-hydroxytryptophol to 5-hydroxyindole acetic acid in urine. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 29: 781-787.
- 93. Helander A, Böttcher M, Fehr C, Dahmen N, Beck O (2008) Detection times for urinary ethyl glucuronide and ethyl sulfate in heavy drinkers during alcohol detoxification. Alcohol & Alcoholism 44: 55-61.
- 94. Morini L, Politi L, Acito S, Groppi A, Polettini A (2009) Comparison of ethyl glucuronide in hair with carbohydratedeficient transferrin in serum as markers of chronic high levels of alcohol consumption. Forensic Sci Int 188: 140-143.
- 95. Kharbouche H, Faouzi M, Sanchez N, Daeppen JB, Augsburger M, et al. (2012) Diagnostic performance of ethyl glucuronide in hair for the investigation of alcohol drinking behavior: a comparison with traditional biomarkers. Int J Legal Med 126: 243-250.
- 96. Hastedt M, Buchner M, Rothe M, Gapert R, Herre S, et al. (2013) Detecting alcohol abuse: traditional blood alcohol markers compared to ethyl glucuronide (EtG) and fatty acid ethyl esters (FAEEs). Forensic Sci Med Pathol 9: 471-477.
- 97. Alladio E, Martyna A, Salomone A, Pirro V, Vincenti M, et al. (2017) Evaluation of direct and indirect ethanol biomarkers using a likelihood ratio approach to identify chronic alcohol abusers for forensic purposes. Forenci Sci Int 271: 13-22.