Hepatic Cancer Stem Cells and Signaling Pathways

Mohammad Khalid Zakaria1,2, Ashraf Ali3, Kaneez Fatima4, Mohd Suhail5, Saleh Alkarim6, Esam Azhar7,8 and Ishtiaq Qadri3*

1National Brain Research Centre, Haryana, India
2Department of Biochemistry, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India
3Department of Medical Biotechnology, King Fahd Medical Research Center, King Abdul Aziz University, Saudi Arabia
4IQ Institute of Infection and Immunity, Pakistan
5Center of Excellence in Genomic Medicine Research, King Abdul Aziz University, Saudi Arabia
6Department of Biological Sciences, King Abdul Aziz University, Saudi Arabia
7Special Infectious Agents Unit-Biosafety Level 3, King Fahd Medical Research Center, King Abdul Aziz University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
8Medical Laboratory Technology Department, Faculty of Applied Medical Sciences, King Abdul Aziz University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

*Corresponding author: Ishtiaq Qadri, Department of Medical Biotechnology, King Fahd Medical Research Center, King Abdul Aziz University, PO Box 80216, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia, Tel: +966 640 1000, Fax: +966 6952 5321, E-mail: ishtiaq80262@yahoo.com

Abstract

Loss of hepatocytes due to infection, inflammation or partial hepectomy simulates a response which helps in the liver restoration. This maintains homeostasis and keeps a check on the usual wear and tear in the liver. Cancer stem cells (CSCs) were demonstrated to be associated with myeloid leukemia. However, with recent advancements in the approaches and techniques, CSCs are also present within a wide variety of solid tumors and malignancies of epithelial origin. Identification of CSCs has been lately possible by characterization of specific surface markers and signaling events. Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and Cholangiocarcinoma (CC) constitute primary liver cancer. Deaths caused by HCC are much higher than the occurrence possibly due to its asymptomatic nature. The symptoms are manifested at a later stage by the time tumor has metastasized. CSC population, associated with HCC, is majorly responsible for chemo resistance and metastasis. Identifying CSC specific genes, cell surface markers and signaling pathways could help in the development of novel therapeutic approaches. Moreover, the role of micro RNAs (miRNAs) has been shown to be associated with the self-renewal of liver CSCs. This review deals with the understanding of liver CSCs, miRNAs and the signaling pathways involved.
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Introduction

Neoplastic cells are heterogeneous in nature [1]. Such contrasting nature has been described due to the accumulation of epigenetic [2] and genetic [3] changes. Variability in the tumor microenvironment might activate specific signaling cascades within the tumor cells. This possibly contribute towards heterogeneity [4]. Additionally, such heterogeneity could also be contributed by cells with stem cell like properties and are called as cancer stem cells (CSCs; [5]). Tumor propagation, as a result of CSCs, has been experimentally proved by characterization of cells with stem cell like properties (self-renewal, positive for stem cell surface markers) [6,7]. Various tumorigenic and clonogenic assays have established the self-renewal properties of these CSCs. CSCs assist in the development of tumor by both symmetrical and asymmetrical division, chemo-resistance, radio-resistance and metastasis [7]. It can be assumed that the CSCs are the major players of tumorigenesis and are important therapeutic targets as they are implicated in a wide variety of cancers including hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). HCC is the third most leading cause of cancer deaths. However, on the level of occurrence, it is sixth. This is possibly due to its asymptomatic nature [8]. HCC constitutes around 75% of the primary liver cancer cases [9]. Morphological heterogeneity exists in the case of HCC and intra-hepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) [10]. Self-renewal capability of CSCs has been well established through xenotransplantation of HCC specimens. A variety of CSCs surface markers have been identified. These include EpCAM, CD-44,40,24,13,133, OV6, aldehyde dehydrogenase activity etc. Some of
Moreover, stimulation of such progenitor cells corroborates with compartments, bearing resemblance to oval cells, have been found. To the exhaustion or inhibition. Under such conditions stem cell from oval cells [26]. In the case of viral hepatitis, fatty liver disease coworkers showed the generation of albumin secreting hepatocytes oxidative stress, activation of oval cells was observed [25]. Yasui and Also, in mice where hepatocyte regeneration was repressed by a carcinogen following proliferative stimuli [24]. Oval cells have been conducted in rodents where hepatocyte replication was suppressed by retrorsine before partial hepectomy, complete liver regeneration was observed. This was shown to be by small hepatocyte-like progenitor cells (SHPCs) [18]. It also indicates that when replication is inhibited, differentiation takes over.

Chronic hepatitis infection (CHC) leads to the killing of hepatocytes. In order to maintain liver mass proliferation of hepatocytes take place [19]. Such increase in repeated proliferation cycle could also result in the progression of HCC. A group reported that an increased proliferation in hepatitis C subjects ultimately inflicted histological damage to the hepatocytes. This resulted in cirrhosis possibly due senescence [20]. A close association between senescent mature hepatocytes and oval cells has been observed.

Oval cells
These have a high nucleus to cytoplasm ratio and are identified by their characteristic ovoid nucleus. Oval cells possess the capacity to pass through the bile canaliculi [21]. Oval cells can differentiate into either cholangiocytes or hepatocytes [22,23]. Activation studies on oval cells have been conducted in rodents where hepatocyte replication was suppressed by a carcinogen following proliferative stimuli [24]. Also, in mice where hepatocyte regeneration was repressed by oxidative stress, activation of oval cells was observed [25]. Yasui and coworkers showed the generation of albumin secreting hepatocytes from oval cells [26]. In the case of viral hepatitis, fatty liver disease and necrosis, mature hepatocytes are not able to regenerate due to the exhaustion or inhibition. Under such conditions stem cell compartments, bearing resemblance to oval cells, have been found. Moreover, stimulation of such progenitor cells corroborates with the degree of damage [27,28]. These progenitor cells could serve as an indicative marker of various liver based diseases. Similarly, in the case of hepatitis, such progenitor cell induction indicates the level of inflammation [29]. There are various markers for the identification of oval cell population such as – APP, NCAM1, Chro A, OV6 etc. It is also considered that the oval cells are produced by Bone Marrow cells (BMCs), since these cells express some common surface markers.

Bone marrow cells
Hepatocytes and oval cells are derived from BMCs as a regenerative response following liver damage [30]. Sell and coworkers for the first time demonstrated BMCs as the source of oval cells post perportal necrosis [31]. Another transplantation approach showed that in the absence of injury a small proportion of hepatocytes were derived from BMCs. This indicated that the hepatocyte maintenance was being governed by BMCs [32]. Evidence of liver stem cells, origination from BMCs, came from a study by Theise and coworkers. They proved that the patients receiving bone marrow or liver transplant, from that of opposite gender, possessed various proportions of donor derived cells [32,33].

With respect to the liver diseases, the exact role of BMC is still not fully understood. The fact that damaged hepatocytes could alter the lineage commitment of hematopoietic stem cells to hepatocytes could not be avoided. However, most of the current reports highlight only few scenarios where this type of lineage commitment is possible [34].

Linking Cancer Stem Cells and Liver Cancer
Clinically both HCC and CC may coexist. This gives an insight into a close association of stem or progenitor cell in neoplastic tumours. Several reports have already described the influence of liver stem cells in HCC development. Activity of stem and progenitor cells has been closely monitored and is linked with inflammation and fibrosis during hepatitis. Direct role of hepatocytes have been described in liver carcinogenesis [35,36]. Transforming ability of oval cells was demonstrated in nude mice where they developed into tumor mass [37]. However, the role of BMCs in hepatocarcinogenesis is still unclear and needs further study.

Prolonged sustenance of self-renewal signal have the potential of initiating neoplastic transformation [38]. Wnt/β-Catenin and BMI-1 are the 2 major signaling cascades known to induce carcinogenesis in liver stem and progenitor cells. In chronic hepatitis and liver injury continuous self-renewal of hepatocytes, through stem/progenitor cells, is known to initiate tumorigenesis [39]. It is believed that the tumor mass comprises of undifferentiated CSCs and partially differentiated non-tumorous cancer cells originating from CSCs. However, only CSCs has the stem cell properties important for survival and are implicated in tumor growth [40].

Identification of Liver Cancer Stem cells
A distinct criterion is set to identify and distinguish CSCs from the usual liver cell population. This has been possible with the help of characteristic markers expressed by CSCs. Hepatic progenitor cells and CSCs express a variety of surface specific molecules that have been characterized. It has also been shown that these markers are implicated in maintaining CSCs characteristics. For instance a variant of CD44 supports and protects CSCs against oxidative stress [41]. Moreover, CD13 confers resistance against genotoxic substances [42]. EpCAM up-regulates Wnt signaling in ES and cancer cells [43,44]. CD133 helps in the maintenance of CD133+ liver CSCs by activating neurotensin/IL-8/CXCL1 signaling [45]. In addition, a CD44 variant maintains oxidative homeostasis by stabilizing xCT, hence protecting liver CSCs from oxidative stress [46]. CD13 also reduces the damage caused by oxidative stress [47]. Thus, the CSC markers could be a good potential target for the eradication of liver CSCs.

Role of miRNAs in Hepatic Cancer Stem Cells
These are non-coding RNAs regulating expression of a variety of genes. Role of miRNA in disease progression has been well established. Reports indicate that the miRNAs are important regulators of cellular protein and helps maintain balance. By down/
up-regulation of miRNAs, researchers have established their role and their pathological processes. Expression pattern of miRNAs have been different in liver CSCs and non-CSCs population. miRNA plays a crucial role in CSC self-renewal, resistance and tumor development [12]. miRNA are also being utilized as prognostic markers, since they are stable in the serum of HCC patients.

Liver CSC population has been shown to express some members of miRNA-181 family. This include miRNA-181a,b,c and d. These miRNAs have also shown to be associated with increased tumorigenic potential through proliferative markers such as CDX2, UGT2B7, CYP1B3A4 and β-catenin related genes. Additionally, 181s family miRNAs have a role in the maintenance of stemness by regulating COX-2 and GATA 6. miRNA 181 family is directly regulated by Wnt/β-Catenin pathway since the down-regulation of Wnt/β-Catenin results in its suppression. This suggests that the repression of miRNA 181 could help prevent HCC [48,49]. Level of miRNA 145 is lower in CSCs as compared to normal ones. miRNA 145 expression in HCC mice model results in the suppression of tumor development. Increased expression of this miRNA led to the decrease in Oct 4 levels suggesting that the anti-tumor effects are mediated by alteration on stem cell marker [50].

miRNA 150 is selectively expressed by liver CSCs which are CD133+. Increased expression of this miRNA results in the suppression of CSCs. Also, increased expression of miRNA 150 decreases cyclin D1 and Bcl-2 ultimately reducing cell survival [51]. Meng and coworkers demonstrated the selective expression of miRNA let-7 in Oct4+ and CD133+ liver CSCs. Let-7 expression was found to be insignificant in normal liver stem cells. Furthermore, upon suppression of let-7, CSCs were sensitized to doxorubicin and sorafenib [52]. IL-6, a direct regulator of let-7a and let-7b, is frequently over expressed in HCC [53]. miRNA 199a-3p has the tendency to decrease the proliferative potential of CD44+ liver CSCs in HCC cell lines. However, presence of this miRNA in HCC patient’s sera is still to be reported [54]. A group reported the selective up-regulation of miRNA 130b in CD133 positive liver CSCs extracted from HCC cell lines as well as tissues. miRNA 130b expression enhanced self-renewal potential of these cells. Knocking down miRNA 130b reversed this effect [55]. These reports demonstrate that the miRNAs play an important role in CSCs maintenance.

**Signaling Pathways of liver CSCs**

**BMP**

A multipotent foregut endoderm is responsible for giving rise to a vertebrate liver. This tissue is also a source for pancreas, thyroid and lung development [56]. One of the critical developmental regulators is bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs). During liver development, BMPs are produced within the mesoderm adjoining the foregut endoderm. However, the exact sites responsible for BMP production are still not fully studied. BMP belong to TGF-β family and they initiate cell effects by binding to their specific receptors [57]. Ligand specificity is determined by the combination of various receptors. Upon ligand binding, activated BMP receptor (BMPR) phosphorylates SMAD proteins. Furthermore, SMAD proteins dimerizes with SMAD 4 and translocates into the nucleus where it regulate transcription of various genes [58]. Previously, BMP pathway has been implicated in colorectal and glioblastoma development. A research group has shown that by exogenously expressing BMP4, CSCs can be differentiated into HCC. Interestingly, endogenous BMP4 helped in CD133 expression in CSCs. SMAD6, a target gene of BMP signaling, corroborated with the expression of CD133 in HCC. The mechanistic study revealed that the differentiation of CSC occurred as a result of Erk1/2 induction by BMP4 [59].

**Hedgehog**

Hedgehog pathway is highly conserved in humans as well as in drosophila. It has a critical role in maintaining cell fate in adults and during embryonic development [60]. Desert hedgehog (DHH), Sonic hedgehog (SHH) and Indian hedgehog (IHH) ligands seem to exist which bind to membrane based patched (Ptc) receptors [61]. When the ligand is absent, Ptc repress the pathway by binding to another trans membrane protein smoothened (smo) [62]. Hedgehog activation occurs upon binding of ligands to the Ptc, ultimately activating transcription factors Gli 1, 2 and 3. Gli 1 and Gli 2 generally serves as activators, whereas Gli 3 act as a repressor [63]. Within the liver, SHH is the major form and is found in approximately 60% of the HCCs. Reports have validated further that blocking hedgehog pathway could down-regulate Gli based target genes [64]. Also, during neoplastic transformation, proto-oncogene c-Myc expression is increased by smo [65]. Recent reports have established the role of hedgehog signaling in liver CSCs [66].

**OSM**

Oncostatin M (OSM) is produced by CD45+ hematopoietic cells and is a cytokine related to IL-6. OSM is also known to regulate other important liver functions such as ammonia clearance, glycogen/lipid synthesis and detoxification [70]. OSM receptor (OSMR) is composed of OSM specific subunits and gp130 [71]. This leads to the activation of two pathways – STAT3 or Ras [72,73]. OSM causes the differentiation of hepatoblast through the STAT3 signaling cascade [70]. A study shows the differentiation of liver CSCs when OSMR is activated upon OSM binding indicating the importance of OSM signaling in liver CSCs [74].

**BMP**

Evolutionary conserved group of genes, known as polycomb, constitute BMI I pathway. BMI I has a role in epigenetic modulation of stem cells and helps in the self-renewal process [67]. BMI I over-expression is known to be associated with HCC phenotype [68]. De-regulated BMI I has also been validated in CSC population and is critically involved in the maintenance of liver. The critical role play of BMI I, in liver CSCs propagation, was confirmed by studies on hepatic stem cells with ectopically induced BMI I. BMI I, along with Wnt/β-Catenin pathway, was shown to help in the liver CSCs and stem cell maintenance [69].

**OSC**

**Notch/Wnt**

Various signaling events seem to exist which regulate stem cell proliferation. Notch signaling is one of the crucial pathways participating actively in the stem cell renewal process [85,86]. Over expression of Jagged, a notch ligand, and notch3 has been seen in HCC [87,88]. Notch dependent transformation is linked to the activation
of ERK. This leads to the stabilization of Notch transcript and hence active transcription of Hes-1 [89,90]. Contrastingly, Notch can also serve as a tumor suppressor by interacting with other pathways such as Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK and regulating tumor suppressor PTEN [91]. Notch signaling has a crucial role in the liver development and formation of the bile duct. Marked increase in the expression levels of notch genes has been demonstrated in CD133+ liver cancer cells, corroborating with the fact that CSCs have activated Notch Pathway. Wnt signaling pathway also regulates stem and progenitor cells [92]. Wnt signaling stabilizes β-catenin, which activates a family of transcription factor TCF [93]. Receptor of Wnt is known as frizzled (Fz). Activation of Fz with its co-receptor LRP-5/6 leads to the activation of disheveled. This event dissociates tetrameric GSK3β/β-catenin/APC/Axin complex and reduces phosphorylation of β-catenin, mediating its nuclear entry and activating transcription of target genes with the help of TCF. Molecular signaling between Wnt, HH, BMP and Notch is known to regulate stem cell differentiation or self-renewal [94]. More than 80% of HCCs are found to over express FZD-7 [95]. Also, around 20–40% of HCCs showed nuclear and cytoplasmic accumulation of β-catenin [96]. Even though there is a marked increase in β-catenin, its target genes are not affected. This correlates with the fact that the transcriptional activation of Wnt target genes are also regulated by other signaling molecules [97]. In about 25% of HCCs β-catenin and Axin1 mutations have been demonstrated [98,99]. In EpCAM + liver CSCs, over expression of Wnt pathway mediators have been shown [100]. Researchers have demonstrated that the self-renewal potential of stem/progenitor cells could be increased by exogenously expressing mutant β-catenin in mouse hepatic stem or progenitor cells. Also, activation of Wnt/β-catenin pathway has been observed in oval and OV6 + rodent tumor cells. Such activation of Wnt attributes chemo resistance to HCC cells [101]. These findings denote that the Wnt signaling plays an important role in CSC maintenance.

TGF-β

TGF-β signaling has a role in the suppression of foregut cancer as well as in the normal development of the gut endoderm [102]. SMAD signaling has been shown to be important in the proliferation of hepatocytes of the embryonic origin [103]. Activation of SMAD could be initiated by receptors or SMAD binding proteins. These proteins belong to a variety of families such as SUMO ligases, SARA, β-2-Spectrin and Filamin. TGF-β signaling is dependent upon β-2-Spectrin for its activation [104]. β-2-Spectrin presents SMAD3 to the cytoplasmic domain of TGF-β type I receptor complex. This is followed by complex formation with SMAD4 and its subsequent nuclear localization inducing target gene activation [105]. Deficiency of β-2-Spectrin leads to the defective TGF-β pathway. SMAD 2 and 3 heterozygous mice die due to the liver and gastrointestinal defects. This suggests the crucial role of TGF-β pathway in liver development and regeneration. Several reports have shown significant reduction in the levels of TGF-β receptors in liver cancer [106]. However, HCC patients show marked increase of TGF-β in the urine and serum [107]. Also, immuno histochemical studies have confirmed that TGF-β is increased in significant proportion of HCC cases [108]. TGF-β pathway mediates both tumor and anti-tumor effects. In HCC, the anti-proliferative arm of this pathway is lost, thus leading to increased proliferation and survival of tumor cells. TGF-β signaling also caused endothelial to mesenchyme transition (EMT) in neoplastic cells. It is shown by Yuan and coworkers that HCC cells which are Oct4/STAT3 positive and have impaired TGF-β signaling possess the potential in HCC development by acting as CSCs [109].

Other Factors Modulating Cancer Stem Cells

Nobel laureate Yamanaka and his coworkers demonstrated the
cellular re-programming of differentiated cells to pluripotency. This was achieved by selective expression of factors such as Oct3/4, Sox-2, Klf-4, etc. [110,111]. Such critical transcriptional molecular factors might also be involved in liver transformation and CSC maintenance. A group showed the existence of hepatic CSCs in c-Myc dependent tumors. This could possibly indicate the role of myc in CSCs and their self-renewal [112]. CD24 and CD133 expressing liver CSCs require Nanog, a transcriptional factor active in ES cells, for self-renewal [113]. P53 loss has been shown to assist in reprogramming by Yamanaka factors [114]. Mutations in TP53 are associated with specific gene signatures linked with stem cell like characterisitics [115]. This suggests that the differentiation factors may also enhance HCC progression by maintenance of liver CSC population. Figure 1 shows a summarized view depicting pathways activated in CSCs and miRNAs responsible for their maintenance.

Conclusion

According to the CSCs hypothesis, tumor comprise of cells heterogeneous in function and phenotype. A small fraction of such cells possess stem cell like features and are responsible for maintenance and development of tumor [116]. In the near future, approaches that selectively target CSCs will be promising. Hence, understanding the biological factors and signals involved in the maintenance and differentiation of CSCs could help develop novel therapeutic strategies to tackle cancer. Currently employed cancer therapies aim to reduce tumor load by inducing cancer cell death. However, tumor recurrence and metastasis are the major hurdles to deal with. CSCs, like normal cells, are often dormant for long durations. Activation signals appear as the environment becomes favorable. Reports have shown that only a small fraction of these CSCs can give rise to tumors that depict the similar phenotype as of the original tumor. Pathways such as Wnt, HH, Notch, IL-6/STAT3, BMP, Bmi-1, OSM, TGF-β seem to have a critical role in the maintenance of such CSC population. Therefore, controlled and selective suppression of these pathways, along with currently employed therapeutic approaches, might prevent tumor recurrence and metastasis and increase the chances of survival. Also, combinatorial approaches inhibiting miRNAs and signaling pathways, implicated in CSCs sustenance, could suppress cancer progression with better outcomes.

Few of the clinical trials, dealing with cancer stem cells and therapy, are ongoing or have been completed at various research centers across the globe. For instance, Ning et al. have successfully devised lung cancer stem cell specific vaccine [117] and their study was achieved by selective expression of factors such as Oct3/4, Sox-2, Klf-4, etc. [110,111]. Such critical transcriptional molecular factors might also be involved in liver transformation and CSC maintenance. A group showed the existence of hepatic CSCs in c-Myc dependent tumors. This could possibly indicate the role of myc in CSCs and their self-renewal [112]. CD24 and CD133 expressing liver CSCs require Nanog, a transcriptional factor active in ES cells, for self-renewal [113]. P53 loss has been shown to assist in reprogramming by Yamanaka factors [114]. Mutations in TP53 are associated with specific gene signatures linked with stem cell like characterisitics [115]. This suggests that the differentiation factors may also enhance HCC progression by maintenance of liver CSC population. Figure 1 shows a summarized view depicting pathways activated in CSCs and miRNAs responsible for their maintenance.
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