Prdx Overexpression in Tumor Tissue of Breast Cancer Patients
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Abstract

Peroxiredoxin (Prdx) proteins are evolutionarily conserved thiol-specific antioxidant enzymes that reduce various cellular peroxides, protecting cells from oxidative damage. Prdxs also have been demonstrated to play an important role in regulating redox-sensitive cell signaling in a number of cell processes. Prdxs have been implicated in cancer biology, and are upregulated in many cancers including breast cancer, as well as several breast cancer cell lines. To explore the entire Prdx family in breast cancer, we analyzed the expression of all six Prdx proteins in breast tumor tissue and adjacent normal breast tissue from 14 patients. We found that most patients have a marked elevation of Prdx expression in tumor tissue, with many patients overexpressing multiple Prdxs. Specifically, we found that 71% of patients overexpress Prdx1, 50% overexpress Prdx2, 64% overexpress Prdx3, and 57% overexpress Prdx4. In contrast, Prdx5 and Prdx6 are elevated in a minority of patients. We found no association, however, between the incidence of Prdx overexpression and tumor grade in this study. Our findings provide further evidence for Prdx elevation in breast cancer using matched patient samples, and support the notion that Prdx upregulation may provide a survival advantage to breast cancer cells, and other cancer cells.
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Introduction

The Peroxiredoxins are a family of evolutionarily conserved thiol-specific antioxidant proteins. These proteins act as peroxidase enzymes, reducing cellular peroxides (including hydroperoxides and lipid peroxides) in the presence of specific electron donors [1]. As a result, peroxiredoxins (Prdxs) protect cells from oxidative damage associated with normal cellular metabolism [2,3]. Since ROS are now well characterized signaling molecules, Prdxs are also recognized to act as modulators of redox signaling, thereby regulating a number of redox-sensitive processes involved in cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis [4,5]. In mammals, there are six Prdx proteins, which can be subcategorized by the number of cysteines in the active site of the protein. The 2-Cys Prdxs (Prdx1-5) react with peroxides to form a disulfide bond either as dimers (Prdx1-4) or intramolecularly (Prdx5) [6]. In contrast, 1-Cys Prdx (Prdx6) contains only one active cysteine, cannot form dimers through disulfide bond formation, and has the unique ability to reduce lipid peroxides [7]. The different Prdxs exhibit differences in tissue distribution, cellular localization, and substrate specificity, therefore demonstrating distinct roles in the cellular antioxidant defense system [8].

In recent years, the overexpression of Peroxiredoxins in breast cancer cells has been well documented [9-13]. Although the precise mechanism of action in these cells has not been fully elucidated, elevation of other antioxidants in cancer cells suggests that upregulation of peroxiredoxins provides a survival advantage to cancer cells in an environment of elevated oxidative stress. Additionally, research implicating oxidative stress in chemoresistance supports peroxiredoxins and other antioxidant proteins as potential candidates for therapy targets [13]. Recent studies have reported Prdx overexpression correlates with development, recurrence, and/or progression of cancers, [13-15]. Karihtala and others reported elevated Prdx 3-5 levels to be associated with more poorly differentiated tumors, and Prdx 5 with shorter survival in breast cancer patients [10]. They hypothesized that the increase in Prdx expression is induced by higher ROS levels in the cancerous state. However, the correlation with malignancy and disease progression remains a controversy as many groups have conflicting results [10,16,17]. Prdx2 and Prdx4 may be of particular interest since they are secreted proteins and may be useful biomarkers measured in serum samples [16]. Researchers are continuing to search for reliable serum biomarkers in breast cancer in the hopes of earlier detection, and thus better disease prognosis [16,17].

Previous research from our lab has shown overexpression of five of the six Prdxs at the mRNA and protein levels in the widely studied MCF-7 human breast cancer cell line, compared to the non-cancerous MCF-10A cell line [18,19]. We further showed that suppression of these proteins in MCF-7 cells results in reduced cell viability [18]. While investigation of the mechanism of Prdx regulation and function continues in these and other cell lines, it is essential to pursue Prdx studies in human tumor samples to better understand its physiological relevance and aberrant in vivo regulation. In addition, the patient-to-patient variability in Prdx expression, and significant evidence pointing to Prdx misregulation as an epigenetic cancer adaption, rather than a causal factor in carcinogenesis, suggests that proper controls for these experiments will be critical to determine when and how these Prdxs modify their regulation. As such, we sought to analyze Prdx protein expression in a number of patient samples by comparing Prdx levels in breast tumor tissue and adjacent normal tissue within each patient.
Figure 1: Prdx Expression in Tumor (T) and Adjacent Normal (N) Tissue. (A) Oncopair INSTAblot IMB-130d, including matched tumor and adjacent non-tumor breast tissue for 7 patient donors. Tumor diagnosis for patients are as follows: P1, mucinous carcinoma; P2, mucinous carcinoma; P3, mucinous carcinoma; P4, metaplastic; P5, intraductal carcinoma; P6, intraductal carcinoma; P7, intraductal carcinoma; (B) Oncopair INSTAblot IMB-130a, including matched tumor and adjacent non-tumor breast tissue for 7 patient donors. All breast tumors were diagnosed as ductal carcinoma. (*note – the patient orientation of this blot is reversed from the published order, based on a difference in loading order for this blot lot).
Our findings are consistent with other studies that have examined multiple Prdxs, including studies that specifically found elevated expression of Prdx1-3 in breast tumors [9], and another showing elevation in Prdx1, 3, and 4 in a much higher percentage of breast cancer than either Prdx2 or Prdx6 [10]. However, these other studies used non-matched normal breast tissue as controls. Since Prdx levels vary significantly between individuals, our study demonstrating elevated tumor levels compared to normal breast tissue within the same individual is more compelling, and more strongly supports a physiologically important role for Prdx in the cancer process. Our observations that Prdx1-4 are elevated in most tumors are also consistent with our previous studies showing overexpression of Prdx1 -5 (but not Prdx6) in the MCF7 breast cancer cell line, as compared to the normal MCF10A line [18,19]. This helps to validate the use of the MCF7/MCF10A cell lines as an acceptable in vitro model for further investigation of Prdx regulation and function in breast cancer. Despite considerable evidence that Prdxs are upregulated in breast cancer, as well as other cancers, there is virtually nothing known about the mechanism of this upregulation in these cells.

Our observation that Prdx1-4 are most commonly elevated in breast tumors is intriguing. A recent review explores new evidence on the mechanism of action of these 2-Cys peroxiredoxins (Prdx1-4), revealing their important role in redox-sensitive processes including proliferation and cancer [20]. Each of these proteins uses a similar dimeric structure to catalyze the peroxide reaction, and has a somewhat distinct subcellular localization. Prdx1 has been the most studied, and is the most abundant Prdx in cells, localizing to the cytosol. Cha et al. reported overexpression of Prdx1 and Thioredoxin I in breast carcinoma, using paired samples, and further reported an association with tumor grade [21]. However, this study compared fold difference in mRNA levels (not protein) between tumor grade and type, and examined a much larger set of samples, so it is possible that we may have observed a correlation with a larger set of samples.

Prdx1 has been found to associate with multiple proteins including c-Abl [22], and recently, Prdx1 was shown to associate with MAPK phosphatases (MKP-1 and MKP-5), thereby regulating redox-signaling in breast cancer in a H2O2 dose-dependent manner [23]. Based on its dual-role, it is possible that upregulation of Prdx1 in breast cancer either serves to protect cancer cells from ROS-induced damage and/or assists in redox-regulated proliferation and apoptosis. Prdx2 is another cytoplasmic peroxiredoxin, while Prdx3 is the primary mitochondrial peroxiredoxin, which is critical for proper mitochondrial function [24]. Prdx4 is both secreted and found in the ER, and recently has been implicated in the oxidative protein folding [25,26]. While upregulation of all four of these peroxiredoxins has been reported in breast cancer cells, there is little known about their role in these tumor cells. It is clear that each Prdx has designated peroxide-detoxifying roles in the cell, and that levels of ROS in all of these subcellular locations are elevated in cancer cells. A recent report from our lab demonstrated that suppression of Prdxs 1,2,3 or 5 in MCF7 breast cancer cells significantly reduces resistance to doxorubicin, while suppression of Prdx3 reduces cell viability even in the absence of drug treatment [18]. This is the only study, thus far, that has examined the effect of all six Prdx proteins in breast cancer cells.

Much additional research is needed to elucidate the precise role of each Prdx in breast cancer biology, and the mechanism of its upregulation in tumor cells. But together, our findings further implicate overexpression of the Prdx family of proteins in breast cancer as a widespread phenomenon, and support the notion that Prdx induction may provide cytoprotection for breast cancer cells in vivo.
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