Novel Insulin Receptor-Signaling Platform
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Abstract
Insulin receptor (IR) signaling plays a key role in the regulation of glucose homeostasis. A dysfunctional and/or unregulated IR activation has been shown to cause a range of clinical manifestations including insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, obesity, cancer, hypertension, and cardiovascular disorders. The molecular mechanisms mediating IR activation have become an important area of scientific and clinical research. Here, we summarize the current understanding of IR structure, function, and signaling, and highlight the role of glycosylation and sialylation in IR activation. The key interactions that induce IR activation are identified and a novel IR-signaling platform is proposed. A mammalian neuraminidase-1 (Neu1) and matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) cross-talk in alliance with neuromedin B G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) is uncovered which is essential for insulin-induced IR activation and cellular signaling. Evidence exposing the invisible link connecting insulin-binding to a proposed IR-signaling paradigm will be reviewed in relation to human disease.
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Insulin Receptor Structure

The insulin receptor family is a well-characterized group of transmembrane glycoproteins belonging to subclass II of the receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) superfamily [2]. They consist of the insulin receptor (IR), insulin-like growth factor I receptor (IGF-IR), insulin-like growth factor II receptor (IGF-IRI), and IR-related receptor [3]. Insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) are peptide hormones that bind to these receptors and initiate important processes involved in cell growth, development, metabolism and survival. In humans, these peptide hormones comprise of insulin, IGF-I, IGF-II, relaxin, relaxin-like factor (RLF) and placentin [4]. This review will primarily focus on the role of insulin in the activation of IR.

Insulin is a key regulator of metabolic homeostasis, energy storage, and glucose levels [5]. It acts to increase cell glycogen and fatty acid synthesis and esterification, decrease proteolysis, lipolysis and gluconeogenesis, and increase amino acid and potassium uptake [6,7]. Insulin is produced and stored in an inactive form and is released as mature insulin by the pancreatic β-cells via exocytosis directly into hepatic portal circulation. For insulin to bind its receptor, it changes conformation to engage onto its receptor, but the mechanism of which is unclear. Using truncated “microreceptors” that reconstitute the primary hormone-binding site, Menting et al. [8] have shown that insulin’s a-subunit domains L1 and αCT undergo concerted hinge-like rotation at B20-B23 β-turn, thereby coupling reorientation of Phe(B24) to a 60° rotation of the B25-B28 β-strand distant from the insulin core for it to lie antiparallel to the receptor’s L1-β2 sheet. The report also discloses that opening of the insulin hinge enables the conserved nonpolar side chains Ile(A2), Val(A3), Val(B12), Phe(B24), and Phe(B25)) to engage the insulin receptor.

Insulin growth factors-I (IGF-I) and -II are produced by the liver, and are essential for the growth and development of somatic tissues including skeletal muscle and bone [9]. Since IGFs show structural homology to insulin, IGF-IRs are also closely related to IRs [10]. IGF-IR and IR share a common coaxially linked 2a2β tetramer structure [11]. The cell surface receptor complex is composed of two extracellular α-subunits that contain the insulin binding site, and two transmembrane β subunits that include a cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase (TK) domain [5]. IR and IGF-IR have structurally similar

Introduction

The insulin receptor (IR) is a high affinity transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) complex that is essential in the maintenance of body glucose homeostasis. Although RTK signal transduction is generally well characterized, the framework controlling IR activation remains poorly understood. It is suggested that glycosylation and the regulation of sialylation is required for the control of receptor activation. In support of this, glycosylation of IR is an important modification that allows for the processing, hormonal regulation, and binding activity of the receptor [1]. The specific parameters that mediate IR activation, however, are less clear. Here, we present an overview of insulin receptor structure, function, and the importance of glycosylation in receptor activation and cell signaling. The current understanding of the role of membrane-bound complexes and receptor modifications associated with IRs will also be reviewed, specifically the role of mammalian neuraminidase-1, matrix metalloproteinase-9, and neuromedin B G-protein coupled receptor. In summary of the research studies examined in this review, we propose a novel insulin receptor-signaling paradigm and discuss its implications in relation to human disease.
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Glycosylation is Essential for Insulin Receptor Processing, Localization and Activation

Glycosylation is an important aspect of receptor biosynthesis and functional structure. There are five glycan classes: N-linked glycans, O-linked glycans, phospho-glycans, C-linked glycans, and glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchors. Similar to most RTKs, IR is highly glycosylated receptors; IRs have 18 asparagine residues that function as N-linked glycosylation sites, and some serine and threonine residues involved in O-linked glycosylation [29,30]. Fourteen glycosylation sites are localized on the IRα subunits, while the other sites are on the IRβ subunits [1]. Specifically, the IRα subunits contain only N-linked carbohydrate, while IRβ subunits contain both O- and N-linked carbohydrates [31]. A refined structure of the IR ectodomain that incorporates all of the N-linked glycans and their distribution over the crystal structure has been eloquently reviewed by Sparrow et al. [30].

During IR biosynthesis in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), N-linked glycans are incorporated into asparagine residues of growing polypeptides [32]. The reversible incorporation of N-linked glycans is controlled by two enzymes: glucosidase and glucosyl transferase [30]. These enzymes are known to play key roles in transient receptor glycosylation at the ER level [33]. The dimerization of IR and the processing of glycans also occur in the ER. Additional glycan modifications occur in the Golgi. Further maturation steps are then required to synthesize a functional IR. These maturation steps include the cleavage of the pre-receptor and the transport of mature IR to the cell surface.

N-linked glycans that are attached to IR have several biological functions. They are essential for polypeptide folding, correct processing of the α chain and for trafficking of mature IR to the cell surface [30]. N-linked glycosylation is also crucial for the oligomerization of complex multimeric proteins [1]. In 2000, Ellman et al. used site-directed mutagenesis to remove N-linked glycosylation sites at 15 different regions of the insulin receptor. Although an individual site mutation did not significantly affect IR function, a combination of mutated glycosylation sites resulted in impaired protein folding and processing [1]. Other reports highlight the importance of N-linked oligosaccharides in the functional structure of insulin receptor [34]. They used an insulin receptor with gene mutations expressed on four N-linked glycosylation sites of the β-subunit (IR-βN1234; Asn-X-Ser/Thr site mutations) and reported a reduction in the molecular weight of IR-βN1234 when compared to the wild-type control. Receptor cell surface expression and insulin binding was not significantly affected. However, IR-βN1234 showed a major disruption of subsequent tyrosine kinase activation and signaling [34].

Cell Membrane Sialic Acids and Insulin Resistance

The sialic acid (neuraminic acid) family contains about 50 members that share a common nine-carbon amino sugar backbone. Sialic acids are widely distributed among both invertebrates and vertebrates and are present in all cell surfaces, specifically at the outermost end of glycan chains [35]. All sialic acids are derived from two primary members: 2-keto-5-acetamido-3,5-dideoxy-D-glycero-D-galactononulosonic acid (Neu5Ac) and 2-keto-3-deoxy-D-glycero-D-galactononic acid (KDN). Among vertebrates, Neu5Ac is the most common sialic acid [36]. Synthesis of sialic acid is initiated in the cytosol and is later transferred to the nucleus and Golgi for further modifications. Sialyl transferase bind to sialic acid precursors and catalyze the incorporation of specific glycoside linkages. In humans, most sialic acids are linked to galactose as a terminal non-reducing end of glycolipids or glycoproteins [37]. Human insulin receptor contains two types of oligosaccharides: high-mannose oligosaccharide and complex oligosaccharide composed of N-acetylgalactosamine, fructose, and additional sialic acid residues [1].

The C5 position of sialic acids is considered to be a modification site whose distinct functional groups can be attached. At this position, modification processes include acylation, methylation and hydroxylation [38,39]. The modification of C5 is essential for the diversity of sialic acids. This diversity allows sialic acids to perform important biological functions such as cell recognition and contact, and...
neuronal transmission, transportation and localization of proteins, and stabilization of the cell membrane [40]. As negatively charged molecules (due to C1 and C5 functional groups), sialic acids are also able to regulate the transport of positively charged molecules, such as calcium ions [37,41,42]. Furthermore, sialic acids function as recognition sites for host and pathogen receptors which help the immune system to differentiate between self and non-self cells [40]. Their size, negative charge, and exposed terminal position in the carbohydrate chain also allow sialic acids to function as protective shields and to prevent protease degradation of glycoproteins [40].

Studies have demonstrated a connection between insulin resistance and cell membrane sialic acid content. Cytidine 5’-monophospho-N-acetylmuramic acid (CMP-NANA; substrate used for sialyltransferase sialylation of glycans) was reported to enhance insulin responsiveness by 39%. These findings provide support for the role of cell surface sialic acid in hepatic insulin action with a consequent contribution to insulin resistance associated with diabetes [43]. Other studies implicated the role of sialic acids and the alteration of glycosylation in the development of cancer. Sialic acids have the ability to disguise and conceal recognition sites allowing tumor cells to escape immune detection [36]. One study showed that malignant metastatic potential and invasiveness are accompanied by the alteration of sialylation [44]. Other reports indicate that several tumors are sialylated significantly more than normal tissue [40]. In support of this, the increased expression of sialic acids in glycosylated cell surface receptors has been linked to various clinical conditions including malignancies, inflammations, cardiovascular diseases, type I and II diabetes, and insulin resistance [35]. The apparent role of sialylation in receptor signaling and its association to disease may indicate a new molecular target for clinical research.

For tumor growth and survival, glucose contributes an essential nutrient source that supports glycolysis and the hexoseamine biosynthesis pathway (HBP). Jones et al. [45] recently reported that insulin-stimulated phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/protein kinase B (PI3K/PKB) cell survival pathway was strongly abrogated in the absence of extracellular glucose. As a consequence of the loss of insulin-stimulated PI3K activation, short-term glucose deprivation subsequently inhibited tumour cell growth. Loss of insulin-stimulated PKB signalling and cell growth was rescued by extracellular glucosamine and increased activity through the HBP. In addition, they have shown that disruption of O-GlcNAC transferase activity, a terminal step in the HBP, revealed an O-GlcNAcylation process in the PKB signaling and cell growth. Changes in glycosylation are considered a hallmark of cancer, and one of the key targets of glycosylation modifications is E-cadherin. A recent report suggested that insulin and IGF-1 stimulation of breast cancer cells overexpressing E-cadherin induced a decrease of bisecting GlcNAC N-glycans that was accompanied with alterations on E-cadherin cellular localization [46]. These findings provide new evidence for the role insulin/IGF-1 signaling pathways contributing to cancer progression through the modification of bisecting GlcNAC N-glycans.

Mammalian Neuraminidases and IR activation

Neuraminidases (sialidases) catalyze the cleavage of sialic acid residues from glycosylated molecules. These enzymes are found in viruses, bacteria, protozoa and mammals. They play key roles in the activation of different receptors, including IRs, IGF Rs, and epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFR) [47]. Neuraminidases catalyze the hydrolysis of the terminal sialic acid from oligosaccharides, glycoproteins, and glycolipids, and are capable of cleaving the α-2,3, α-2,4, α-2,6, α-2,8, and α-2,9 linkages [48]. The α-2,3 and α-2,6 linkages of sialylated receptors are the most common action sites for hydrolysis [39]. The activity of sialidases has been shown to have critical regulatory functions in the cell, including the ability to mediate proliferation, differentiation, antigenic masking, catabolism, infection and signal transduction [49,50].

Mammalian neuraminidases can be classified into four types (Neu1, Neu2, Neu3 and Neu4) according to their differential localization, optimal pH, response to ions and detergent, kinetic properties and specificity of substrate [37]. Mammalian neuraminidase-1 (Neu1) generally shows the strongest expression in human tissues [51]. Neu1 is located in lysosomes and surface membranes of cells [52,53] and is expressed by the majority of vertebrate tissues, including the pancreas, kidney, heart, lung, liver, brain, and skeletal muscle [54]. Neu1 has the ability to form a multi-enzymatic complex that contains two hydrolases: the protective protein cathepsin A (PPCA) and the glycosidase β-galactosidase (β-GAL) [37]. Neu1 is the only sialidase known to have a direct involvement in two neurodegenerative metabolic disorders: sialidosis and galactosialidosis [37]. Sialidosis is an inherited metabolic disorder characterized by a clinical deficiency in Neu1 sialidase [55]. Galactosialidosis is caused by the deficiency of both Neu1 and β-GAL [54]. In addition to low levels of Neu1, both disorders involve a metabolic defect that results in the accumulation of sialylation in oligosaccharides and glycoproteins expressed in fibroblast tissues [56]. The involvement of neuraminidase in these conditions calls for a better understanding of its regulatory mechanisms within the cell.

The importance of glycosylation in IR biosynthesis, insulin binding and activation has been studied in detail using site-directed mutagenesis analyses [1,34]. These findings provided supporting evidence for a critical role of oligosaccharide side chains of the IRβ subunit in the molecular events responsible for IR activation and signal transduction. It is well known that membrane glycosylation plays a key role in the function of insulin receptors and glucose transporters. One report disclosed that pretreatment of isolated rat adipocytes with a neuraminidase resulted in a release of sialic acid and an increase in basal glucose transport [57]. This process suggests that sialic acids play a regulatory role in the transport of glucose.

Recently, it has been shown that desialylation of IR enhances insulin-induced cell responses [58]. The treatment of rat skeletal L6 myoblast cells with either mouse-derived mammalian Neu1 sialidase or Clostridium perfringens neuraminidase resulted in a desialylation of IR. This process coincided with a significant increase of L6 myoblast cell proliferation in response to a low dose of insulin [58]. Furthermore, the inhibition of endogenous Neu1 diminished this proliferative effect in the presence of low insulin concentrations (1 and 10 nM), but enhanced proliferation in the presence of higher insulin concentrations (100 nM). For IGF receptors (IGFR), the opposite effect was observed where desialylation of IGFR resulted in an elimination of the heightened proliferative response of L6 myoblasts to 100nM insulin. To explain the contrast in these observations, it was proposed that Neu1 enhanced the mitogenic response of L6 myoblasts to a low dose of insulin. However, the cytosolic Neu1 sialidase and the cell membrane-bound Neu3 sialidase induced myoblast differentiation, but not proliferation, through the direct modulation of the GM3 ganglioside content in myoblasts [59-61]. In support of this premise, it has been reported that a transient up-regulation of Neu3 in L6 myocytes caused a significant decrease in IR signaling. This process was proposed to be in direct modulation of plasma membrane gangliosides by Neu3 activity and the interaction with the growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 (Grb2) [62]. Another explanation for this dichotomy of insulin-induced mitogenic responses may involve GPCR-receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) novel signaling platforms. Using human embryonic kidney 293 cells, Alderton et al. showed that the platelet-derived growth factor β receptor (PDGFβR) forms a complex with Myc-tagged endothelial differentiation gene-1, a GPCR whose agonist is sphingosine 1-phosphate, in cells co-transfected with these receptors [63]. PDGF plays an important role in the regulation of cell proliferation. PDGF was shown to stimulate tyrosine phosphorylation of the inhibitory Gia subunit to increase p42/p44 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) activation. Furthermore, the PDGFβR was found to associate with GPCR kinase 2 and β-arrestin-1, both of which play critical roles in the regulation of GPCR signal complex endocytosis - a requirement for the activation of p42/p44 MAPK. The proposition is that PDGFβR signaling is initiated by GPCR kinase 2/β-arrestin-1.
complexes that have been recruited to the PDGFβR via tethering to GPCR. These results indicate a novel signaling network characterized by the integration of these receptors that may account for the co-mitogenic and cell proliferative effects of certain GPCR agonists with PDGF. Notably, basal levels of tyrosine phosphorylated Gia subunits do not induce activation of p42/p44 MAPK on their own [63]. The potentiating effects of Gia signaling on the PDGF-stimulated p42/p44 MAPK activation may require the PDGF-induced recruitment of other intermediates to tyrosine-phosphorylated Gia subunits. Indeed, several reports have shown that insulin receptors can interact with Gia subunit [64-66]. An alliance between GPCR and RTK is eloquently reviewed by Pyne and colleagues [67-70] and Abdulkhalek et al. [71]. These reviews describe the formation of unique signaling platforms in which protein components specific for each receptor are shared to produce a response upon stimulation with ligand.

The cell membrane-bound Neu3 sialidase has also been shown to be induced by certain standard clinical drugs [72]. Olanzapine is an atypical antipsychotic that belongs to thienobenzodiazepine class of drugs, approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Unfortunately, the atypical antipsychotic is known to be associated with insulin resistance [73-75]. A recent study reported by us that olanzapine induced Neu3 activity in Neu1-deficient human WGS544 or 1140F01 sialidosis fibroblast cells and in Neu4-knockout primary murine macrophages [72]. Olanzapine-induced Neu3 activity also reduced IRβ and IRS1 phosphorylation associated with insulin-stimulated human fibroblast cells [76]. When chow-fed mice were given acute and chronic intravenous treatment of type 2 diabetes, they developed hyperglycemia and insulin resistance compared to the wild-type cohort. The report also proposed that endogenous Neu3 activity also reduced IRβ and IRS1 phosphorylation associated with insulin resistance and diabetes [72]. Indeed, Neu3 has been shown to participate in the control of insulin signaling, most likely via the modulation of gangliosides and the interaction with growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 (Grb2) [62]. That report also showed that the transient transfection of Neu3 into 3T3-L1 adipocytes and L6 myocytes caused a significant decrease in IR signaling. Another report has shown that overexpression of Neu3 inhibits MMP-9 expression in vascular smooth muscle cells [77]. When Chow-fed mice were given acute and chronic intravenous injections of elastin-binding peptides, they developed hyperglycemia and insulin resistance [77]. The report proposed that this insulin resistance is due to the interaction of IR with Neu1 of the elastin receptor complex triggered by elastin-binding peptides. In another report, it was shown that following elastin peptide treatment, the cellular GM3 levels decreased while lactosylceramide (LacCer) content increased consistently with a GM3/LacCer conversion [78]. It was suggested that Neu1-dependent GM3/LacCer conversion is the key event leading to signaling by the elastin receptor complex. Based on these results, the role of elastin-binding peptides in insulin resistance may involve the direct modulation of plasma membrane gangliosides by increasing Neu3 activity. As described elsewhere, this interaction may be facilitated by the Grb2 [62]. Recent findings [79] proposing metabolic disorders, such as type 2 diabetes, are membrane microdomain disorders which are caused by aberrant expression of gangliosides fits well with the current findings with GM3 and insulin resistance.

In support of the role of Neu1 in IR activation, a recent report has shown that insulin binding to IR rapidly induced an interaction between IR and Neu1. It was shown that Neu1 activity hydrolyzes sialic acid residues of IR and, consequently, induced IR activation [80]. The report also disclosed that when Neu1-deficient mice (expressing ~10% of normal Neu1 activity) were exposed to a high-fat diet, they developed hyperglycemia and insulin resistance compared to the wild-type cohort. The report also proposed that endogenous Neu1 sialidase activity is involved in IR glycosylation modification. Indeed, Blaise et al. provided additional evidence to support that Neu1 interacts with IRβ to desialylate the receptor [77]. The reports have also suggested that modification of receptor glycosylation may in fact be the connecting link between ligand-binding, receptor dimerization and activation for several other receptors [81-85].

G-Protein-Coupled Receptors that Bind Long-Chain Free Fatty Acids and Glucose-Dependent Insulin Secretion

G-protein-coupled receptor 40 (GPR40) is well known as free fatty acid receptor-1. GPR40 is mainly expressed in pancreatic β-cells and it binds medium- and long-chain fatty acids. The activation of GPR40 in these cells causes insulin secretion. The mechanism(s) of receptor activation, pharmacology, and the physiological functions of the fatty acid binding receptors is extensively reviewed by Swaminnath [86]. Feng et al. [87] and Talukdar et al. [88] have also reviewed the therapeutic target potential of GPR40 in mediating insulin secretion and type 2 diabetes. For an example, a selective GPR40 agonist, TAK-875 which is an ago-allosteric modulator of human GPR40, was recently shown to improve glycemic control by increasing glucose-dependent insulin secretion [89]. The data in the report have also shown that combining metformin which is a first-line drug for treatment of type 2 diabetes and TAK-875 enhanced the glycemic control in Zucker diabetic fatty rats. This improvement in glycemic control in this combination group was shown to accompany by a significant increase in fasting plasma insulin levels while the pancreatic insulin content was monitored at levels comparable to those in normal rats (e.g., vehicle: 26, combination: 67.1; normal lean: 69.1 ng·mg⁻¹ pancreas) without affecting pancreatic glucagon content. Using crystal structure analyses of human GPR40 with bound TAK-875 at 2.3Å resolution, the data showed a unique non-canonical binding pocket of TAK-875, which most probably occurs through the lipid bilayer [90]. This crystalized TAK-875-bound GPR40 complex exhibited an inactive-like state. The atomic analyses of the extensive charge network in this ligand binding pocket of GPR40 revealed additional interactions not identified, and thus may provide insights into the plausible binding of multiple ligands to the receptor.

Changes in the metabolic state such as obesity, fasting, cold challenge and high-fat diets (HFDs) are well known to activate complex immune responses. In rodents, HFDs induce a rapid systemic inflammatory response which may lead to obesity. A recent report provided evidence to demonstrate that feeding of high-fat diets to rodents resulted in the increased expression of advanced glycation end products (RAGE) ligand, high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) and carboxymethyllysine-advanced glycation end product epitopes in the liver and adipose tissue [91]. Others have shown that cellular responses to HMGB1 stimulation act synergistic with oligodeoxynucleotide (ODN) mediated a MyD88-dependent up-regulation of MMP2, MMP9 and cyclin-dependent kinase-2 (CDK2), which was critically dependent on the 35kDa RAGE, the receptor for advanced glycation end products and TLR4 receptor [92]. Secreted HMGB1 can be a trigger of inflammation dependent on the complexes it forms with other molecules [93]. Pure recombinant HMGB1 has no pro-inflammatory activity but can form highly inflammatory complexes with ssDNA, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), IL-1, and nucleosomes, which interact with TLR9, TLR4, IL-1R, and TLR2 receptors, respectively [93]. Interestingly, Ivanov et al. have identified HMGB1 as an ODN–binding protein, and it interacts and pre-associates with TLR9 in the endoplasmic reticulum-Golgi intermediate compartment, hastening TLR9’s redistribution to early endosomes in response to ODN [94]. Based on these data, the extracellular HMGB1 was found to accelerate the delivery of ODNs to its receptor, leading to a TLR9-dependent augmentation of IL-6, IL-12, and TNFα secretion [94]. Others have observed that cells under hyperlipidemic stress relocated HMGB1 protein from the nucleus to cytoplasm [95]. The report also disclosed that the high level of HMGB1 correlated positively with the up-regulation of the RAGE receptors in the lung tissue from hyperlipidemic animals. During hyperlipidemic stress, the HMGB1–RAGE interaction activated the Akt signaling pathway. The cellular and molecular players that participate in the regulation of obesity-induced inflammation and insulin resistance are reviewed by Lee and Lee [96] with particular attention on the roles of the cellular players in these pathogenesis.
Indeed, the pathogenesis for obesity, insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes is usually referred to as a chronic low-grade metabolic meta-inflammation [97]. The contribution of inflammation to insulin resistance has been extensively reviewed [97-98]. Oh et al. [99] have indicated that omega-3 fatty acids bind to the GPR120 receptor in mediating potent anti-inflammatory and insulin-sensitizing effects. The GPR120 receptors bind long-chain fatty acids such as palmitoleic acid, the omega-3 fatty acids (α-linolenic acid, docosahexaenoic acid and eicosapentaenoic acid) [99,100]. In addition, the taste preference for fatty acids is mediated by GPR40 and GPR120 [101]. Oh et al. [99] have described the mechanism of omega-3 fatty acids in mediating anti-inflammatory effects. They have provided evidence to show that omega-3 docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) abolishes lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-mediated phosphorylation and activation of IkB kinase (IKK) and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) in macrophages but had no effect in macrophages with GPR120 knockdown. It was shown that DHA activation of GPR120 initiates the recruitment of β-arrin-2 (β-arr-2) to the cytosol and binds to GPR120, β-arr-2 bound to GPR120 interacts with tumor growth factor β (TGF-β) activated kinase-1 binding protein-1 (TAB1), thereby inhibiting the TAB1 interaction with TGF-β activated kinase 1 (TAK1). This process in turn inhibits the downstream pro-inflammatory pathways via activation of Gβμ and INK. The concept of “fat taste” in humans [102], and the potential roles of GPR120 and its agonists in the management of diabetes have been extensively reviewed [102-107].

Crosstalk between Insulin Receptor and G-Protein-Coupled Receptor Signaling

Crosstalk between insulin receptor and GPCR signaling is being well-recognized for the regulation of multiple physiological functions as well as the pathogenesis of important diseases, including cancer, obesity, metabolic syndrome, hypertension, and type II diabetes mellitus, insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia [113]. The interactions between GPCRs and the large number of glycosylated receptors including the insulin receptor involved in human diseases are quite diverse. Indeed, one GPCR can interact with more than one G-protein to initiate multifunctional signaling. A number of GPCRs can also amplify a response produced by a separate circumstantial signal in the cell. For an example, it has been shown that the activation of TOLL-like receptors (TLRs) can also regulate GPCR responsiveness by modulating the expression of GPCR kinases (GRKs), arrestins (ARRs), and regulators of G-protein-signaling (RGS) proteins [114,115]. GRKs are known to phosphorylate G-proteins while ARRs bind to GPCRs to inhibit GPCR-dependent signaling. Various RGS proteins that act specifically on different G protein subunits and thus stimulate specific pathways are induced by specific TLRs [114]. Together with GRKs and ARRs, RGSs regulate the duration of signaling downstream of GPCRs. The RGS family of proteins, comprising 30 members, is diverse, ranging in size from 17kd to 160kd. They display widely variable and regulated expression patterns [116].

Using co-crystallization and atomic structural analyses, it is noteworthy that the GRK compounds can be clustered into two chemical classes: (A) indazolo/dihydropyrimidine-containing compounds that are selective for GRK2, and (B) pyrrolopyrimidine-containing compounds that selectively inhibit GRK1 and GRK5 [117]. The report disclosed interesting evidence to support the selectivity profiles of the most potent inhibitors representing each of the chemical classes, GSK180736A for GRK2 and GSK2163632A for GRK1 and GRK5. GSK180736A which develops as a Rho-associated, coiled-coil-containing protein kinase inhibitor, bound to GRK2 similar to that of paroxetine. The other GSK2163632A which develops as an insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor inhibitor, occupied a novel region of the GRK active site cleft. However, neither compound inhibits GRK5s more potently than their initial targets.

GPCRs have long been implicated in the transactivation of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) in the absence of their natural growth factors, such as epidermal growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor, fibroblast growth factor, and nerve growth factor [118]. Delcourt and colleagues provided a comprehensive review to explain the molecular mechanisms involved in this crosstalk among GPCRs and RTKs [119]. For an example, insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) and epidermal growth factor (EGF) binding to their receptors initiate receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) activation by recruiting phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) and activating G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR)-activated polyphosphoinositide (PAPC) has been shown to increase the phosphorylation state of a common set of proteins in neurons [120]. Using PACAP type 1 receptor (PAC1R) null mice, the report revealed that IGF-1 transactivated PAC1Rs constitutively associated with IGF-1 receptors, and this activation process is mediated by Src family kinases to induce PAC1R phosphorylation on tyrosine residues. Indeed, other reports have shown that IGF-1 can transactivate other GPCRs such as (a) CXC chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCX4) [121], (b) CC chemokine receptor 5 (CCK5) [122], (c) neuropeptide GPCR PAC1 [120], and (d) sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor 1 (S1P1) [123]. In contrast to the general opinion that the trophic activity of IGF-1 is solely mediated by tyrosine kinase receptor-associated signaling, these reports clearly show that it involves a more complex signaling network dependent on the PAC1 G-protein-coupled receptor in neurons. Other examples of GPCR-RTK partners have been shown for platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) [124-126] and nerve growth factor (NGF) [127,128] transactivation of GPCR sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor 1 (S1P1) [123] and NGF transactivate GPCR lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 (LPA1) [128]. These findings indicate a different receptor signaling concept in which GPCR activation is essential for growth-factor activation of RTK by way of a mechanism that involves a functional signaling complex between the GPCRs and RTK. This crosstalk mechanism has been uncovered by us that describes an entirely new signaling platform [71]. For epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptors [85], EGF binding to its receptor induces a GPCR-signaling process to activate a Gαi1-sensitive pertussis toxin and MMP inhibitors galardin and paperrazine [131]. It is noteworthy that the GPCR agonists can induce sialidase activity in the live-cell assay but the GPCR-mediated effects were not observed in TLR-deficient cells such as NIH-3T3 cells. These results suggest that GPCR agonists activate sialidase activity only when Neu1 and a functional GPCR are tethered to a TLR receptor. To confirm this hypothesis, bombesin-like receptor neuromedin B receptors, LPA, cholesterol, angiotensin-1 and -2, but not thrombin, induce sialidase activity within a minute, and this activity was blocked by Ga-negative pertussis toxin and MMP inhibitors galardin and piperrazine [131]. It is noteworthy that the GPCR agonists can induce sialidase activity in the live-cell assay but the GPCR-mediated effects were not observed in TLR-deficient cells such as NIH-3T3 cells. These results suggest that GPCR agonists activate sialidase activity only when Neu1 and a functional GPCR are tethered to a TLR receptor. There are three bombesin-related peptides that bind to closely related GPCR receptors in mammals, and these GPCRs are neuregulin B-prefering receptor (NM RR), a GRP-prefering receptor (GRPR), and an orphan receptor called bombesin-receptor subtype-3 (BRS-3) [135]. In a study using NM RR knockout female mice, they developed a partial resistance to diet-induced obesity [136]. The study showed that the disruption of the NM RR signaling pathway did not change body weight or food intake in these female mice fed a normolipid diet, but however, they did develop partial resistance to diet-induced obesity, which was not accounted for by alterations in food intake.

It is of interest to note that several GPCRs, for an example, P2Y (GPR105) [137], glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor (GLP1R) [138], the Zn2+-activated GPCR (GPR147) and the muscarinic receptor and galanin receptor [144,145] can modulate the gastrointestinal motility and the glucose-induced insulin secretion.
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It can be speculated that insulin binding to IRα on the cell surface initiates GPCR-signaling to activate MMP-9. Our data using co-immunoprecipitation of IRβ and neurexin 1 GPCR suggest that the neurexin 1 GPCR forms a complex with naive and insulin-induced IR in HTC-WT cells [72]. Other co-immunoprecipitation experiments using cell lysates from RAW-Blue cells demonstrated that the 80 kDa isofrom of the neurexin 1 GPCR forms a complex with the active 88 kDa MMP-9 isofrom from naive or lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated cells [131]. These findings validate that neurexin 1 GPCR forms a complex with MMP-9 on the cell surface of naive cells. The data in the report also showed that GPCR agonists (bombesin, lysophosphatidic acid, cholesterol, angiotensin-1 and -2, and bradykinin) binding to their respective GPCR receptors induced Neu1 activity within 1 minute and that this Neu1 activity was blocked by Gαi-sensitive pertussis toxin, neumaminidase inhibitor oselatmivir phosphate, broad-range MMP inhibitors galardin and piperazine, anti-Neu1 and anti-MMP-9 antibodies, and siRNA knockdown of MMP-9 [131]. The rapidity of the GPCR agonist-induced Neu1 activity suggests that glycosylated receptors such as TLRs and RTKs form a functional GPCR-signaling complex. Indeed, Gilmour et al. [85] and Moody et al. [152] report that the neurexin 1 GPCR regulates EGF receptors by a mechanism dependent on MMP activation. It is well known that GPCR can activate numerous MMPs [153], including MMP-3 [154], MMP-2 and -9 [155,156], and the members of the ADAM family of metalloproteinases [157,158]. We have shown that GPCR agonists can directly activate Neu1 through the intermediate MMP-9 in order to induce transactivation of TLRs and subsequent cellular signaling [131,134]. It is noteworthy that insulin can mediate increases in MMP-9 via IR activation [159], which fits well within our molecular signaling platform of Neu1-MMP-9 cross-talk in regulating insulin-induced receptors. That study has also demonstrated that insulin can induce MMP-9 via the mitogenic signaling pathways, whereas the P13K-dependent signaling which is typically altered in insulin resistance is not required [159]. The connection between GPCR and IR has also been demonstrated for β-adrenergic receptors tethering to IR in adipocytes [160-163]. These reports show that insulin-bound IR stimulates the phosphorylation of the β-adrenergic receptor on Tyr-350 and this process facilitates IR tethering to β-adrenergic receptor via growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 (Grb-2). This molecular signaling platform integrating the IR/β-adrenergic receptor/Grb-2 tripartite complex is critical for β-adrenergic agonist amplification of insulin-dependent activation of p42/p44 MAPK. The present study details this molecular signaling platform is to improve IR signaling [160-163], but also to improve signaling of other RTKs associated with GPCR, such as PDGFβR [63]. Reports indicate that PDGF induces a stronger tyrosine phosphorylation of Gαi and a more robust activation of p42/p44 MAPK in cells transfected with both PDGFβR and EDG-1 (the GPCR for SIP-1) compared with PDGFβR alone. These RTK–GPCR signaling platforms are eloquently reviewed by Pyne and Pyne [68].

The GPCR signal integration in IR activation is extensively reviewed by Patel [164] and Abdulhakeh et al. [71]. This review presents an overview of the current understanding of insulin receptor structure, the importance of receptor glycosylation and its modifications, and the key intermediate players that are involved in the molecular activation of the insulin IRβ receptor, and subsequent cell signaling. A negative imbalance of this novel IRβ-signaling platform is critical for insulin-induced IR activation and may contribute to insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes [72].
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