Sun Sensitivity and Sunburns as Related to Cutaneous Melanoma among Populations of Spanish Descent: A Meta-Analysis

Leslie K Dennis1,2*, Stephanie G Lashway1 and Marvin E Langston1

1Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Mel and Enid Zuckerman College of Public Health, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA
2Department of Epidemiology, College of Public Health, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA

*Corresponding author: Leslie K Dennis, Department of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, Mel and Enid Zuckerman College of Public Health, University of Arizona, 1295 N Martin Ave, Tucson, AZ 85724, P.O. Box 24521, USA, E-mail: ldennis@email.arizona.edu

Abstract

Few studies have examined sun sensitivity risk factors for cutaneous melanoma specifically in populations of Spanish descent. Previous searches were conducted in PUBMED for articles on melanoma and sun exposure through 2008. Over 300 articles were reviewed and relevant data was abstracted. These abstract forms were subsequently reviewed for studies in populations of Spanish descent. PUBMED was then examined for more recent studies of melanoma in populations of Spanish descent. Eight appropriate articles were found, which comprised 7 discrete studies. We conducted a meta-analysis of these seven studies analyzing Fitzpatrick skin type, skin color and history of sunburns. The risk of melanoma was increased for fair vs. dark skin color (OR = 2.9, 95% CI 2.0-4.1) and for skin type I & II vs. II & IV (OR = 3.5, 95% CI of 2.0-6.1). However, when skin type was examined as an ordered categorical factor in a linear dose-response analysis, a 12-fold difference was seen between skin type I and IV. Any history of sunburn in childhood and lifetime were also associated with melanoma with ORs of 5.6 and 4.0, respectively. The magnitudes of associations seen in this population were much higher than seen in previous meta-analyses of all studies of melanoma. These results provide some evidence of discrepancies of reporting skin color in heterogeneous populations including those of Spanish descent. Future studies should provide a more accurate measure of self-reported skin color in these populations.
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Introduction

The incidence of cutaneous melanoma is increasing in the United States (US), with an average annual increase of 2.3% between 1999 and 2008 [1]. Other countries have also reported substantial increases [2-5]. Most studies of melanoma are restricted to European populations as cutaneous melanoma incidence is highest in these groups and lowest in African populations [6]. Within European populations, differences in incidence rates are attributed to variation in pigment or skin color between northern European and Latin populations [2,7,8]. Incidence rates and epidemiological studies have shown that individuals with fair complexions are at highest risk. Cutaneous melanoma has been strongly associated with sun sensitivity factors [9,10] and intermittent sun exposure [9] including history of sunburns [11]. However, less is known about how such factors vary across European populations, more specifically in populations of Spanish descent.

This study looked at the magnitude of association between sun sensitivity factors and cutaneous melanoma in populations of Spanish descent. Spanish descent, sometimes referred to as Hispanic, is defined as individuals who trace their ancestry back to a Spanish speaking country. This does not include other “Latin” populations such as those of Portuguese descent. Only a few studies have looked at these risk factors and melanoma in Hispanic populations. This meta-analysis pools sun sensitivity factors and sunburns as related to melanoma among these populations.

Methods

Analytic studies of cutaneous melanoma reporting on Hispanic populations were eligible for this meta-analysis. A search was conducted in Medline, dissertation databases, and PUBMED for key words related to melanoma and “sun”, including sun, sunlight, tanned, sunbed, artificial UV and sunburns, to obtain articles on any aspect of sun sensitivity, sun exposure or sunburns and risk of cutaneous melanoma. We repeatedly searched the PubMed database through December 2014. The titles and abstracts of over 1,500 articles were screened to exclude case reports, commentaries or editorials, animal studies, therapies, biological aspects of melanoma, and other irrelevant articles (Figure 1). Articles were gathered and their references checked for additional relevant studies. Data were abstracted from 355 articles reviewed in-depth.

The studies considered in this meta-analysis included cross-sectional studies, case-control studies, and cohort studies that reported populations of Spanish descent separately. We defined such...
populations as those in Spain; Central and South American countries colonized by Spain and self-classified Hispanics in the US. Initially all populations from Spain and South America were considered candidate populations; however, several studies among Brazilians were excluded as historically those populations identify themselves as Portuguese and not as Spanish. A multi-national study that included Spain but only reported it combined with data from Italy, France and Portugal was also excluded [12]. Several US studies where populations were likely to include Hispanics (Texas, California, Arizona, Nevada, Colorado and New York), were reviewed, however, none of these 48 articles stratified by ethnicity. The final study sample included studies among populations in Spain and Argentina. Non-English-language articles were reviewed by an experienced researcher fluent in the appropriate language to determine the relevance and, if needed, they completed an abstraction form. Translated information on the Argentine study [13] published in Spanish was used. After the review of the populations, 7 independent studies were identified (Figure 1).

Case-control studies were classified as population-based, hospital based, or in-between depending on how the control group was recruited. Population-based case-control studies appear to recruit controls from the general public. Among these studies the methods of recruitment include random selection of participants in health promotion program. Hospital based case-control studies include those with controls from a hospital, clinic, emergency room, or dermatology office. In-between studies include those where the controls were recruited from hospital visitors, non-relative visitors to dermatology departments or wards, or Madrid College of Lawyers. We combined scores of 4 different classification systems to assess the quality of included studies. The first 5-point quality assessment scale included items based on classification of controls (population-based, in-between, or hospital based), classification of cases (reporting incident melanomas, reporting if in-situ and/or invasive) and random selection of controls. Three other 5-points scales, for a total of 20 points, included: 1) case description, 2) control description (describing how recruited, reasons for eligibility, and numbers at stages of recruitment), and 3) adequate description of how potential confounders were identified and how they were chosen (low score indicated absence of defined criteria or moderately low for using p-values to determine confounding). Total points for the quality assessment are shown in Table 1. Weighting estimates based on the quality assessment greatly added to the heterogeneity, thus such estimates are not reported.

For each study and level of exposure, the natural log of the odds ratio (ln (OR)) and its variance are required for analyses. When available, the variances were calculated on the basis of the reported confidence intervals (CIs) from the most adjusted models reported (thus accounting for the adjustment). For studies not reporting ORs and CIs, we could only estimate crude ORs and their variances based on the number of exposed and unexposed with and without melanoma. Data were pooled by specific risk factors with three or more studies providing similar categorical data. The statistical analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel and SAS software. We report both the crude estimates from all studies and the pooled estimates of the most adjusted estimates reported for each study.

Figure 1: Flow chart of the studies examined and pooled.
CI = Confidence interval, N = Number of subjects, OR = Odds ratios, vs. = versus.
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For ordinal categories, a fixed-effects method was used to evaluate possible dose-response relationships in the ln(OR) as a linear function [16]. Greenland and Longnecker (1992) recommend the use of median scores to weight each exposure category, but few articles report medians or means; thus midpoints of reported ranges were used. To conduct a meaningful dose-response analysis or other sub-analyses, at least 3 studies must report the information needed.

Heterogeneity was estimated using the between-study variance (chi-square test for heterogeneity, Q), but interpretation is limited [17]. For the H test the statistic of Q to n - 1 measures the extent of heterogeneity. The \(I^2\) statistic, \(I^2 = (H^2 - 1)/H^2\), measures the amount of total variability in the risk estimates that is due to heterogeneity between studies [17]. Each of these statistics uses different assumptions to estimate the impact of heterogeneity, thus we examined all them. The percentage of total variability \((I^2)\) in risk estimates that is due to heterogeneity between studies was reported [17].

Results

Seven distinctive studies of melanoma in populations of Spanish descent were found from 8 articles [13,18-24] reporting association between sun sensitivity and melanoma. Two studies reported among Argentines [18-20] and five studies reported on subjects in Spain [13,21,22,24]. All studies were case-control studies. Most studies mentioned matching controls to cases on age and sex, but were unclear if such matching was one-to-one or frequency matching. They appeared to be frequency matching based on the ratio of cases to controls and types of analyses run. The studies pooled are described in Table 1 along with their report quality scores.

Among the various measures of sun sensitivity and sun exposure these studies reported, several studies reported skin color (fair vs. dark), skin type (I & II vs. III & IV), and ever having a sunburn during childhood or lifetime (Table 2). The risk of melanoma was higher for fair complexion measured using skin type (OR = 3.5, 95% CI of 2.0-6.1) than skin color (OR = 2.9, 95% CI 2.0-4.1). While ever compared to never having a sunburn (during a specific time period) are rough measures, they are strong indicators of melanoma risk with an OR = 5.6(95% CI 3.0-10.3) for childhood sunburns and 4.0 (95% CI 1.6-9.9) for lifetime sunburns (Table 2). Not all reported ORs in the studies were able to be pooled when studies did not report similar categories, such as hair color, eye color, and adolescent sunburns. For those factors that were reported, there was a great amount of inter-study variability based on \(I^2\) ranging from 47% to 99% (Table 2).

We were able to further quantify skin type and lifetime sunburns...
through linear dose-response analyses as three studies reported categories of these factors. The dose response analyses are linear in the beta or ln(OR). For skin type, an OR of 2.3 (95% CI 2.0-2.7) was observed for the difference between any two consecutive skin types (I, II, III, or IV). This can also be interpreted as an OR of 12.3 (95% CI 7.4-20.5) when comparing skin type I to skin type IV (Table 3). However, even among only these three studies, heterogeneity was large (70.4%). Similarly, an increase in melanoma risk was seen for each increasing number of sunburns between two individuals for an OR of 1.6 (95% CI 1.4-1.9) for an increase of 5 sunburns, but again with large heterogeneity (79.3%).

**Discussion**

These studies in populations of Spanish descent had stronger associations (higher effect sizes) for skin type I compared to type IV, OR = 12.4, than did all melanoma studies reporting type I compared to type IV (OR = 2.1) by Gandini et al. [10]. Skin color can be compared more directly with an OR of 2.9 for light vs. dark skin color among populations of Spanish descent to OR of 2.1 for light vs. dark skin color among all studies [10]. The differences in magnitude of these ORs may represent different distributions of skin color and skin type, different interpretations of skin color or aspects of skin type or overall measurement issues.

Sun sensitivity factors attempt to quantify fair complexion. Fair complexion is measured using a variety of sun sensitivity factors including Fitzpatrick skin type (determined by a clinician), self-reported skin type, tendency to sunburn on first exposure to the sun in the spring/summer, inability to tan after prolonged and repeated sun exposure, eye color, hair color and skin color. However, skin color in White populations (including those of northern European descent and Spanish descent) appears to require a more complex scale than the typically used “fair,” “medium” or “dark” skin. When describing skin color among populations of Spanish descent, it is unclear if such subjects would represent the same range of skin color variation with a different distribution within the range, or if such populations may include darker gradients of complexion, resulting in lower rates of cutaneous melanoma.

To look at this further, Table 4 outlined how these risk factors were defined within each study compared to a generalization among most melanoma studies among White non-Hispanic populations. Hair and eye color appears to be asked using fairly standard questions

### Table 3: A meta-analysis pooling odds ratios for cutaneous melanoma and dose information for continuous or ordered categorical factors across case-control studies in populations of Spanish descent based on a random effects model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linear Dose-Response Analyses *</th>
<th>Crude</th>
<th>Heterogeneity</th>
<th>Adjusted</th>
<th>Heterogeneity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin Type - a difference of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (I vs. II, II vs. III, or III vs. IV)</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.00-2.75</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>75.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (I vs. IV)</td>
<td>12.87</td>
<td>7.95-20.82</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>78.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime sunburns - a difference of *</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>75.70%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 burn per lifetime</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.11-1.18</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>75.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 burns per lifetime</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.69-2.24</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>75.70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI = Confidence interval, N = Number of subjects, OR = Odds ratios, vs = versus.

*A linear model estimates an increase of one unit, but can be set to specific units while remaining the same model. We present a difference that is meaningful for a given factor.

*An upper limit of the number of sunburns was estimated from which the median of the upper category for each

---

### Table 4: Study populations included in this meta-analysis of sun sensitivity, sunburns and melanoma among populations of Spanish descent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Publication Year</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>skin type</th>
<th>skin color</th>
<th>hair color</th>
<th>eye color</th>
<th>Sunburn</th>
<th>Adjusted for:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loria, 2001</td>
<td>Argentina, Buenos Aires City</td>
<td>Self-reported: I, II, III, IV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>White, Medium, Dark</td>
<td>Light-pale, Medium, Dark</td>
<td>Childhood, only in adult, lifetime: Ever vs. never.</td>
<td>Eye color, skin color, skin type, # nevi on arms, sunburn in childhood, holidays at the beach, lifelong, sports sun exposure lifelong (age &amp; sex matched)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruiz Lascano, 2004</td>
<td>Argentina (Cordoba)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>White, Medium, Dark</td>
<td>Dark, Medium, Light-Pale</td>
<td>Blond/Red, Brown, Black</td>
<td>Childhood (age &lt;18); 0, &lt; 3, &gt; 3</td>
<td>European grandparents (not Italian or Spanish), fair skin, severe sunburns before age 18, family history of melanoma (age &amp; sex matched)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagore, 2009</td>
<td>Spain, Valencia</td>
<td>I-II, III-VI (no subjects at VI)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Blond/Red, Black/Brown</td>
<td>Black/Blue/Green</td>
<td>Severe lifetime: 0, 1-5, 6-10, &gt;10 Defined as blisters or pain at least 2 days</td>
<td>Sun sensitivity: crude; Sunburns: age, hair color, years of occupational sun exposure, lifetime # of severe sunburns, pack-years of cigarettes, # of multiple nevi, personal history of other cancers (age &amp; sex matched)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballester, 2012</td>
<td>Spain, Valencia</td>
<td>Self-reported: I-II (I-IV), Dark (III-IV)</td>
<td>Fair (I-II), Dark (III-IV)</td>
<td>Black/Brown, Blond/Red</td>
<td>Light, Dark</td>
<td>Blisters: 0-1, 2-4, 5+</td>
<td>Sun Sensitivity: age; Sunburns: crude</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on propensity to burn and ability to tan.

*Based on Always burn/ never tan, Always burn/ hardly tan, Always tan/ sometimes burn, Always tan/ never burn. Unclear if self-report or a dermatological examination.

*Unclear if self-report or a dermatological examination.

*Only the sun sensitivity and childhood sunburns were adjusted, the lifetime sunburns were crude estimates.
and responses among studies. Expectantly, skin type is also reported with consistent categories since it is based on clearly defined Fitzpatrick skin type [25]. However, for several of the Spanish studies, medium skin color does not appear to be an option. This could then change how participants interpret fair and dark skin categories. Such categories may be less informative among populations of Spanish descent and may be interpreted differently. Reported associations between skin color and melanoma may be underestimated in studies that do not consider Spanish descent as seen with over half of the subjects in these seven studies reporting they have fair skin. Tendency to sunburn and inability to tan were not asked in these populations of Spanish descent. While we know that skin type is defined based on tendency to sunburn and inability to tan, some studies have shown inconsistent translation of tendency to sunburn and inability to tan to a separate question on skin type by subjects when asked as to report all three measures. More research in the area of how sun sensitivity may vary by populations is needed.

Simplified comparisons of ever versus never experiencing a sunburn during childhood or over a lifetime found a higher association with childhood (OR = 5.6) compared to lifetime (OR = 4.0). The higher effect size (OR) for childhood than lifetime sunburns is consistent with what was seen among all studies of melanoma [11] with ORs of 1.9 and 1.6, respectively. However, the ORs among populations of Spanish descent show a greater effect of sunburn on risk of melanoma. To understand why this may be, we would need to look at data on number of sunburns within different time periods over the life course. Unfortunately, these studies only reported enough data to pool across lifetime number of sunburns. These data showed an OR of 1.6 for an increase of 5 sunburns over a lifetime with a large variation between studies. The similar pooled risk among all melanoma studies was 1.22 (95% CI of 1.18-1.26) for an increase of 5 sunburns during a lifetime [11]. The stronger association seen among populations of Spanish descent may reflect fewer Spanish subjects with sunburns and such sunburns may represent not only sun exposure but fairer skin which has put them at higher risk of melanoma. It may also reflect lack of proper adjustment for sun sensitivity, which is a large confounder of the association between melanoma and sunburns [11].

Studies did not consistently report how they defined sunburns to subjects. Two of the seven studies did define sunburns as blistering (thus more notable), whereas the other studies just mentioned “sunburns.” The lack of definition for sunburns is a consistent issue among all melanoma studies of sunburns [11]. Therefore, heterogeneity between studies could be explained somewhat by differing definitions of sunburns from any mild sunburn to one so severe it caused blistering (or pain lasting 2 or more days). Additional heterogeneity could arise from differing definitions of childhood sunburns from ages 0-15, < age 18, or unstated definitions. These are reporting issues that plague all of the melanoma literature making exposure assessment difficult.

Future studies of melanoma risk should always consider adjustment for confounding from sun sensitivity factors. They should also attempt to clarify or create reliable and valid instruments to define self-reported skin color with more variation that can be used among both Hispanic and non-Hispanic Whites and quantify differences in skin color among different populations. To do so, concepts of tendency to sunburn and inability to tan may need to be included or asked separately to questions on skin color and/or skin type.

References