Fluoroscopy-Induced Chronic Radiodermatitis
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Abstract

Fluoroscopy induced chronic radiation dermatitis (FICRD) is a rare complication which can be difficult to diagnose and treat. Recently fluoroscopy use has increased and the diagnostic and the therapeutic fluoroscopy procedures are becoming more complex; therefore incidence of FICRD is rising. Because of presentation often occurs after months or years patients are sometimes unaware of exposure to radiation. Early diagnosis is important for both therapy and radiation-induced malignancies. We report these cases to emphasize the importance of recognizing fluoroscopy as a cause of chronic radiation dermatitis which requires an early diagnosis and a good clinical follow-up.

Introduction

Radiation dermatitis is related to the traditional x-ray radiation. Soon after the discovery of x-ray, the skin symptoms were defined as acute dermatitis in 1895 and then chronic radio dermatitis in 1899 [1]. Fluoroscopy is a visualization method which based on X-ray and has a real time vision through a device called fluoroscope. Today, the developments in the interventional radiology that uses the fluoroscopy guided procedures have enhanced the prognosis of cardiac diseases therefore an increasing number of chronic radiation dermatitis cases caused by fluoroscopy have been reported in recent years [2].

Cases

Case 1

A 84-year-old male presented to our dermatology clinic with a 2-year history of a painful non healing ulcer on his back. Physical examination revealed a well demarcated, rectangular-shaped, indurated, reticulated erythematous atrophic plaque with telangiectasia (Figure 1A). There was sharply circumscribed ulcer with a yellow sticky fibrin and thick yellow-brown crust on the centre of the lesions. Clinical impression was a radiation dermatitis; however, the patient denied a history of radiation exposure. A punch biopsy was performed on histopathological examination thickening on the blood vessel walls and swelling on the endothelium cells, pleomorphic, bizarre-looking fibroblastic cells with big hyperchromatic nucleus along with eosinophilic homogenized collagen fibers in dermis was observed (Figure 2A and Figure 2B). Further investigation of the patient’s history revealed a coronary artery bypass graft surgery 20 years ago and coronary artery stenting 2 years ago which lasted 8 hours. With the clinical and histopathologic findings, the diagnosis of FICRD was established.

Case 2

A 73-year-old male applied with a 5 month history of itchy non healing wound on the back of right shoulder. In his dermatological examination; a well demarcated, angulated, erythematous atrophic plaque with telangiectasia was observed on the lateral side of right scapula (Figure 1B). On histopathological examination of the punch biopsy specimen, loss of epidermis, interstitial and perivascular mixed type inflammatory cell infiltration, eosinophilic appearance of collagen fibers and thickness and fibrin exudation in dermis were observed. Although this patient also denied history of radiation exposure, it was found out that he had been through 2 diagnostic cardiac catheterization four years ago, and in the same year, he had had 3 coronary artery stenting. In the light of these findings, the patient was diagnosed as FICRD.

Case 3

A 63-year-old female, who applied with a 13 years history of itchy non healing wound on the right low part of the back. Physical examination revealed a well demarcated, reticulated erythematous atrophic plaque with telangiectasia was observed on her right dorsolateral back (Figure 1C). Patient had a history of prolonged cardiac radiofrequency catheter ablation 13 years ago which lasted 5 hours. Several weeks after procedure, the patient was treated with acyclovir for a presumed diagnosis of herpes zoster of the corresponding area. Despite many different wound care efforts in many different hospitals, patient reported that the ulcer is never wholly healed, and got worse in time. A punch biopsy was performed and histopathological findings showed roughening, swelling, eosinophilic and partly hyalinized appearance of collagen bundles and an increase in the number of the elastic fibers in dermis. With the history, clinical and histopathological findings, diagnosis of FICRD was established.

Discussion

Interventional fluoroscopy provides real-time radiologic visualization during a variety of interventional and diagnostic procedures. In 1994, Food and Drug Administration (FDA) warn the radiologists and the cardiologists for skin damage caused by the radiation after prolonged exposure to fluoroscopic procedures [3].
threshold dose for the skin damage. However, when the duration of exposure is prolonged or multiple procedures performed within a short time, one must always be aware of the risk of FICRD. Therefore, follow-up is important especially for the patients that had > 10 Gy radiation dose [7].

The diagnosis of FICRD depends on the clinical findings and the former radiation exposure of the affected area. Radiation dermatitis following coroner procedures is mostly seen on the midline of the back, scapular area, right anterolateral chest, and below the right axilla [8]. Generally, even not necessary, there is acute radiation dermatitis history before chronic radiation dermatitis. While erythema, epilation, dermal atrophy are observed in acute dermatitis, telangiectasia, ulceration, and necrosis are apparent in chronic radiation dermatitis [7]. Its shape is generally geometric and angular. Clinically, it may simulate morphea, erythema ab igne, and fixed drug eruption [9,10]. Histopathologically, chronic radiodermatitis is characterized by epidermal atrophy, ulceration, telangiectasic vessels, thickened and sclerotic dermal collagen bundles that include atypical stellate fibroblasts and the loss of adnexal structures [11].

Treatment of radiation dermatitis can include topical, intralesional steroids or surgical excision grafting but there are no guidelines on definitive treatment [12,13]. Provider education is essential for the prevention of FICRD. Physicians involved in this type of interventions should be aware of FICRD and be precautious of radiation dosage and minimization of the area exposed to radiation.
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