Expression of Toll-Like Receptor 2 (TLR2) and TLR4 in Response to Aspergillus Fumigatus in Murine Models of Allergic Airway Inflammation
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Abstract
Toll-like receptors (TLRs) constitute a protein family of cellular receptors that mediate the recognition of microbial challenges and the subsequent inflammatory response. The role of these receptors in allergic diseases of airways remains unclear. The objective of this study was to evaluate TLR2 and TLR4 expression in the lung in a murine model of bronchial hypersensitivity to Aspergillus fumigatus. Different groups of 5-month-old mice (Balb/c) were housed in cages containing hay bedding that was contaminated with A. fumigatus and were kept in an isolation room for 16 days to allow for the induction of allergic airway inflammation. The mice were then exposed once again to Aspergillus spores for 0, 2, 6, 12 and 24 hours; the mice were then sacrificed to obtain bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) or lung tissues for histology analysis; TLR2 and TLR4 expression. An unexposed group was used as a control. The results indicated that in this allergic mouse model, during the first hours of exposure to A. fumigatus spores in mice previously sensitized to this fungus, there is a decrease in the expression of TLR2 and TLR4 receptors with a concomitant increase in neutrophils in the BALF and histological changes associated with bronchial hyper responsiveness. The difference between our results and those of other studies could be due to the timing, dose, site and intensity of exposure of allergen, environmental factors and host genetic predisposition. This may explain the disparate results of TLR receptor expression during the development of allergies and airway inflammation.
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Introduction
Aspergillus fumigatus is a saprophyte fungus that survives and grows over a large variety of organic remains and whose most common ecological niche is on the ground. It is one of the most ubiquitous fungi due to the ease of dispersion of its conidia [1]. The small size of the conidia, from 2 to 3 μm, means that they can remain in suspension in the environment for a long period of time and can reach the human pulmonary alveoli because man is constantly exposed by inhaling them [2]. Aspergillus, a ubiquitous mold, affects the respiratory system in more ways than one. The clinical spectrum of Aspergillus-associated hypersensitivity respiratory disorders includes Aspergillus-induced asthma, allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA), and allergic Aspergillus sinusitis, which are usually observed in atopic subjects [3]. A. fumigatus produces a significant number of allergenic molecules that react with IgE in asthmatic patients and in patients with ABPA [4].

Allergic airway inflammation is one characteristic feature of asthma, with additional pathology including a reversible airway obstruction; airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR), the infiltration of eosinophils and T helper type 2 (Th2) cells into the airway submucosa, mucus hypersecretion and airway remodeling [5]. Allergic airway diseases are inflammatory disorders in which aberrant immune regulation occurs and susceptible individuals display allergen-specific responses. In these responses, inflammatory cells are recruited to the asthmatic airways or are activated in situ. These inflammatory cells include mast cells, macrophages, eosinophils, T lymphocytes, dendritic cells, basophils, neutrophils and platelets [6].

The innate immune system confers rapid recognition of a wide spectrum of pathogens using a limited repertoire of germline-encoded proteins, such as pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) [7]. Chemically diverse bacterial products, such as saccharides, proteins, lipopolysaccharides (LPSs), peptidoglycans, lipopeptides, lipids and nucleic acids, serve as agonists to these receptors. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are essential PRRs and constitute a novel protein family of cellular receptors that mediate the recognition of microbial challenges and the subsequent inflammatory response in vertebrates [8]. Their ability to detect the specific pathogen-associated molecular patterns
of Aspergillus conidia involves the expression of PRRs, and the main families of these receptors are the TLRs and the lectin receptors. TLR2 and TLR4 have been reported to mediate the recognition of various cell wall components of Aspergillus [9-12], and dectin-1, a C-type lectin receptor, is the major PRR involved in the recognition of β-glucans [13-15]. The involvement of TLRs in the pathogenesis of allergic diseases results from the biological function that they play in the activation and regulation of the immune response. However, the exact role of these receptors remains controversial. Whereas numerous epidemiological studies mainly indicate a protective effect against microbial exposure, experiments show that innate immune stimulation via TLRs may be involved in both the development of and protection against allergic diseases [16]. Overall, the role of TLRs in allergic inflammation of the airways is still not well understood.

The objective of the current study was to evaluate whether TLR2 and TLR4 levels increase in the lung tissue of a murine model of neutrophil airway inflammation. We want to show that within several hours, the components of A. fumigatus may induce the expression of TLR2 and TLR4, a factor in the initial bronchial allergic inflammation response.

Materials and Methods

Animals

For all of the experiments in this study, we used 5-month-old, sex- and age-matched BALB/c mice. These animals were obtained from and maintained at the Animal Facility of the Universidad Austral de Chile. During the exposure of these animals to A. fumigatus, they were placed in an isolation room with appropriate ventilation and filtering systems. This study was approved by the Bioethics Committee for the Use of Animals in Biomedical Research of the Universidad Austral de Chile.

Exposure of mice to A. fumigatus spores

Different groups of 5-month-old mice (eight mice per group) were housed in cages containing hay bedding that was contaminated with A. fumigatus and were kept in an isolation room for 16 days to allow for the induction of allergic airway inflammation, as described in previously published procedures [17,18]. After 16 days of exposure to this mold, the mice were placed in a remission environment for 10 days with the purpose of having the animals in a basal inflammatory condition before starting the antigenic challenge. Subsequently, the mice were once again exposed to the Aspergillus spores. At 0, 2, 6, 12 and 24 hours after this exposure, the mice were sacrificed with an overdose of a sodium barbital anesthetic (Serve, USA) to obtain the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) or lung tissues for analysis, as described in previously published procedures [17,18]. An unexposed group was used as a control.

Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid and lung histology

Different groups of mice were used for the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) and analysis of lung tissues to prevent any possible alteration of the histological appearance of the lungs due to the lavage. The animals were sacrificed using an overdose of a sodium barbital anesthetic (Serve, USA). For the BALF, the trachea was surgically exposed and cannulated with an intravenous catheter (22 Gx 1/in), and 300 µL of saline solution was slowly administered into the trachea and immediately recovered. The obtained BALF was centrifuged at 690 x g for 60 minutes at 15°C. The flow through was discarded. The column was placed in a new 2-mL collection tube, washed with 500 µL of RNA wash buffer I (Omega Bio-Tek Inc., USA), and then centrifuged as described above, again discarding the flow through. Subsequently, the column was washed with 500 µL of RNA wash buffer II (Omega Bio-Tek Inc., USA) that had been diluted with absolute ethanol and centrifuged at 9,000 x g for 60 seconds at 15°C. A portion of the flow through was reused over the collection tube in the next step, while the excess flow through was discarded. The collection tube was back-washed with 350 µL of wash buffer II in absolute ethanol and centrifuged as described above; the flow through was discarded. The collection tube was then centrifuged at 12,000 x g in a column for 2 minutes at 15°C to completely dry the array. The RNA column was then inserted into a 15-ml centrifuge tube, and the RNA was eluted in 100 µL of deionized water that had been rendered RNase-free through treatment with 0.1% diethyl pyrocarbonate (DEPC, Sigma); the water was added directly to the column matrix, and the column was then centrifuged for 1 min at 9,000 x g. Once the RNA was isolated, an ImProm II Kit (Promega Co., USA) was used in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions to generate cDNA. For each PCR amplification, 1 µL of the cDNA that was synthesized in the previous step was used as a template; this cDNA was combined with 24 µL of a mix containing 0.1% diethyl pyrocarbonate (DEPC, Sigma); the water was added directly to the column matrix, and the column was then centrifuged for 1 min at 9,000 x g. Once the RNA was isolated, an ImProm II Kit (Promega Co., USA) was used in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions to generate cDNA. For each PCR amplification, 1 µL of the cDNA that was synthesized in the previous step was used as a template; this cDNA was combined with 24 µL of a mix containing 0.1% diethyl pyrocarbonate (DEPC, Sigma); the water was added directly to the column matrix, and the column was then centrifuged for 1 min at 9,000 x g. Once the RNA was isolated, an ImProm II Kit (Promega Co., USA) was used in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions to generate cDNA. For each PCR amplification, 1 µL of the cDNA that was synthesized in the previous step was used as a template; this cDNA was combined with 24 µL of a mix containing all of the other necessary PCR reagents in a 0.2-ml tube. The final concentration of the reaction components was as follows: 1X Taq polymerase buffer, 1 mM MgCl2, 100 µM of each dNTP, 0.4 µM of both sense (5′-TTT GCT CCT GCG AAC TCC TTA 3′) and antisense (5′-GCT TTC TTG GCC TTC CTC TT 3′) oligonucleotides for TLR2 or sense (5′-GCT GGA GTA ATC CAG GTG TG-3′) and antisense (5′-TCT CCA CAG CCA CCA GAT TCT-3′) oligonucleotides for TLR4; and 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Go Taq, Promega Co., USA) in a final volume of 25 µL. The amplifications were performed in a PCR Sprint Thermal Cycler (Thermo Scientific Corporation). The PCR protocol utilized an initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 min, which was followed by a series of 40 cycles (95°C for 45 sec, 55°C for 45 sec, and 72°C for 45 sec) and a final 5-min elongation step at 72°C. In addition, housekeeping genes (GAPDH; sense: 5′ CTC ATG ACC ACA GCA GTC CAT GC 3′, antisense: 5′ GCC TGC TGC TCC ACC ACC TTC TT 3′) were used as loading controls for the RT-PCR amplifications. The products that were obtained for TLR2 (291 bp), TLR4 (157 bp) and GAPDH (390 bp) were resolved on a 2% agarose gel using electrophoresis in 1X TAE (10 mM Tris-HCI, 0.1% acetic acid, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). The intensity of the PCR product band that was obtained for each gene was quantified using the Image J software package; we then calculated the TLR2 and TLR4/GAPDH ratio.

Data analysis

The results of the percentage of neutrophils in the BALF and values of the TLR2 and TLR4/GAPDH ratio were assessed by ANOVA. When significant, the means were compared using a Tukey’s Multiple Comparison Test. The results are reported as the mean ± SD. A value of P < 0.05 was considered significant.

Figure 1: Percentage of neutrophils in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of mice at 0, 2, 6, 12 and 24 hours after exposure to A. fumigatus, and the control group (** indicate that p < 0.01, respectively, compared among the groups of mice).
between 6-24 hours post-exposure to *A. fumigatus*.

Compared to time 0, but there was a much more significant decrease in TLR4 mRNA had a significant decrease in expression at 2 hours within 2 hours of exposure and remained low for 24 hours. Additionally, time 0. In the case of TLR2 mRNA, low levels of expression occurred at 0 hours. The bronchiolites observed in animals exposed to *A. fumigatus* for 0-2 hours.

### Results

#### Analysis of the cellular composition of the BALF

The differential neutrophil counts in the BALF from *A. fumigatus*-exposed mice are shown in figure 1. The cells recovered from the BALF of the exposed mice exhibited a significant increase in the proportion of polymorphonuclear neutrophils after 6 hours. There were significantly greater proportions of neutrophils in mice that were exposed from 6-24 hours compared to those who were exposed to *A. fumigatus* for 0-2 hours.

#### Histopathological evaluation

Figures 2A and 2B demonstrates the histopathological changes that occurred in the airways of *A. fumigatus*-exposed mice. Clear changes in the airway histopathology were only observed in mice exposed to *A. fumigatus* between 6-24 hours. The luminal surface epithelium in the bronchiolar airways of the unaffected mice was composed of thin cuboidal epithelial cells. These cells were mostly non-ciliated Clara cells and ciliated cells either with or without a few intervening mucus cells (Figure 2A). We observed pathological symptoms in the lungs of animals exposed to *A. fumigatus* for 6-12 hours. The bronchiolitis observed in animals exposed to *A. fumigatus* was characterized by significant peribronchiolar and perivascular mononuclear and neutrophil infiltration, the accumulation of intraluminal bronchiolar mucus and serofibrinous exudates. Moreover, there was extensive folding of the airway epithelia, a sign of smooth muscle (SM) contraction (white asterisk). Staining with hematoxylin and eosin (H-E). Scale bar = 35 µm.

**Figure 2:** A) Representative histological slide of the lung of a mouse exposed to Aspergillus fumigatus for 0-6 hours. The bronchioles do not have infiltration of inflammatory cells in the epithelium (e) and lumen (L). The alveoli (al) are normal.

B) Representative histological slide of the lung of a mouse that was exposed to Aspergillus fumigatus for 12-24 hours. It is possible to see peribronchiolar and alveolar infiltration of inflammatory cells (white arrows). The bronchiolar lumen was stenosed by cell debris (black asterisk), and extensive folding of the airway epithelium was observed. Extensive folding is a sign of smooth muscle (SM) contraction (white asterisk). Staining with hematoxylin and eosin (H-E). Scale bar = 35 µm.

#### Levels of TLR2 mRNA and TLR4 mRNA in the lung

The results of TLR mRNA expression in the lungs of susceptible mice exposed to *A. fumigatus* contradict the results from the BALF and histology (Figure 3). The results show that after 2 hours of exposure to the antigen, the expression of both receptors fall significantly compared with time 0. In the case of TLR2 mRNA, low levels of expression occurred within 2 hours of exposure and remained low for 24 hours. Additionally, TLR4 mRNA had a significant decrease in expression at 2 hours compared to time 0, but there was a much more significant decrease between 6-24 hours post-exposure to *A. fumigatus*.

### Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the effect of *A. fumigatus* spores on the expression of TLR2 and TLR4 at the bronchial level to understand the role of these receptors in the first hours of exposure in mice previously sensitized by this fungus. *A. fumigatus* spores can quickly stimulate immune responses and are responsible for a wide spectrum of respiratory ailments, ranging from pulmonary colonization to more invasive diseases. Aspergillus sp. is associated with hypersensitivity in respiratory disorders, including asthma, allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis, allergic sinusitis and hypersensitivity pneumonitis [19]. In addition, in patients with allergic asthma, inhalant allergens play a key role in bringing about inflammation in the airways, and fungi are...
increasingly being recognized as important inhalant allergens [20]. *A. fumigatus* is linked to asthma in more ways than one. In asthmatic subjects, the fungal spores are trapped in the thick and viscid secretions that are usually present in the airways. This generally develops in atopic subjects and is sustained by continuous inhalation of *A. fumigatus* antigens, triggering even more severe asthma. Aspergillus-induced asthma has yet to receive the recognition that it deserves [19]. Moreover, neutrophilic asthma is an important subgroup of the disease which includes patients with severe phenotypes. In the current study, the mice were exposed to fungus spores to generate a bronchial hypersensitivity response characterized by massive infiltration of the airways by inflammatory cells, as previously published [17,18].

The function of TLRs in allergic airway inflammation is in part mediated by the activation of cells of the innate immune system. It has been observed that proteins secreted by the fungus and present in the culture supernatant can activate dendritic and neutrophil cells, and this activation is mediated by TLR2 and TLR4 [21]. Dendritic, mast cells and neutrophils are the major players in allergic asthma. Mast cell activation and the subsequent secretion of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines are directly induced by TLR ligands without the induction of degradation and arachidonic acid metabolism [22-27]. However, in this study, under our experimental conditions, we observed a decrease in the expression of TLR2 and TLR4 in sensitized mice that had further contact with the fungal spores for 2-24 hours with a bronchial hypersensitivity response. Some authors suggest that the cellular expression of TLRs could be influenced by microenvironmental conditions, which is a topic that is starting to get more attention [28]. These same authors have shown that the surface expression of TLR4 is down regulated upon short-term exposure to cigarette smoke, which can be explained by the internalization of the receptor. Other authors have shown that the down regulation of the TLR2-mediated pathway involves the internalization of the receptor together with Aspergillus conidia into the phagosome, resulting in the decreased expression of TLR2 on the cell membrane [29]. Furthermore, TLR expression may be different in activated vs. non-activated inflammatory cells. TLR4 expression on monocytes, lymphocytes and dendritic cells in patients with asthma has been shown to be significantly lower compared with control subjects [30]. Our results and those of other authors may suggest that the internalization of TLRs is not indicative of a decrease in the effect of inflammation on the airways. Our results demonstrate that in animals sensitized with *A. fumigatus*, and re-exposure to antigen, there was no change in TLR expression with neutrophilic cell infiltration in the BALF, and histological findings were consistent with a bronchial allergic response. However, in other studies, the increased expression of TLR2, 3 and 4 in fatal asthma may contribute to the acute inflammation leading to asthma-related deaths [31]. Furthermore, BALF cells obtained from patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) has increased TLR4 expression, which could promote airway neutrophilic inflammation [32].

However, the role of TLRs in airway allergy is not well understood because several studies show that these receptors could have a role in modulating the immune response, decreasing the clinical symptoms of asthma. Redirecting an allergic Th2 response by triggering a Th1 response via TLR activation has been postulated as an approach to treat patients with asthma [30]. Hollingsworth et al. [33] shows that the attenuation of eosinophilic inflammation in C57BL/6 mice was abolished when these mice were challenged with OVA supplemented with additional LPS. These findings show that low doses of endotoxin can have regulatory effects on allergic inflammation, particularly in the setting of ongoing allergen exposure. Furthermore, experiments in mice treated with this TLR4 agonist showed reduced granulocyte and lymphocyte cells, reduced levels of the Th2 cytokines IL-5 and IL-13 in the BALF, decreased goblet cell hyperplasia and lower airway hyperresponsiveness [34]. Apparently, the timing, dose, site and intensity of exposure to environmental factors and host genetic predisposition are clearly crucial to understanding the interaction between TLRs and the development of allergy [35-37]. This may explain the disparate results of TLR receptor expression during the development of allergies and airway inflammation.

In conclusion, this allergic mouse model shows that in mice previously sensitized to *A. fumigatus* spores, the first hours of re-exposure to this fungus are characterized by a decrease in the expression of TLR2 and TLR4 receptors with a concomitant increase in the neutrophils in the BALF and histological changes associated with bronchial hyperresponsiveness. The difference between our results and those of other studies could be due to the timing, dose, site and intensity of exposure of allergen, environmental factors and host genetic predisposition. However, further studies are required to better understand the role of TLRs, in particular TLR2 and TLR4, during the initial response to allergen exposure and to further understand the interaction between TLRs and allergy development.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by DID- UACH-S-2009-22 of Universidad Austral de Chile and Fondecyt 1130355.

Conflict of Interest Statement

None of the authors have any financial or personal relationships that could inappropriately influence or bias the content of the paper.

References