Management of the Palatal Donor Area after Harvesting a Connective Tissue Graft: A Case Series

João Carnio, DDS, MS1* and Anna Tereza Carnio2

1Private Practice, Londrina, Brazil
2DDS Student, University of North Parana, Brazil

Abstract

**Purpose:** The manipulation of the palatal flap after harvesting a connective tissue graft (CTG) plays an important role when the palatal donor area is intended to be used more than once. The palatal flap can be handled in one of two ways. One option is the coaptation of the borders, to facilitate healing by the first intention. The second option is the compression of the palatal flap against the bone, which is allowed to heal by secondary intention.

**Methods:** Sixteen non-smoking patients who participated in the current study were divided into two groups based on the bleeding time after CTG removal. When the bleeding stopped within 1.5 minutes or less, the primary closure procedure was used (Group 2). When the bleeding persisted for more than 1.5 minutes, the palatal flap compression procedure was performed (Group 1).

**Results:** Six months later, Group 1 presented a decrease in palatal thickness compared with the baseline measurement. In contrast, Group 2 showed no significant difference in palatal thickness compared with the baseline. These results indicated that the first intention healing procedure should be the procedure of choice if the same palatal donor area is intended for more than one use.

Introduction

Since the introduction of the autogenous connective tissue graft (CTG) in the early 80s, this procedure has been considered the gold standard technique for periodontal plastic surgery [1-3]. The predictability, long-term results, and the color matching of the tissues are advantages of this procedure, whereas the drawbacks include the limited availability of palatal donor tissue to treat multiple recessions and the bleeding of the donor area both during and post-operatively [4]. To overcome the lack of donor tissue availability, the same palatal donor area might be used more than once [5].

After the CTG has been harvested, the palatal flap can be treated in one of two ways. The first option is the coaptation of the borders to facilitate healing by the first intention [6]. The second option is the compression of the palatal flap against the bone, to quickly halt the bleeding, with the expectation of healing by secondary intention [7].

Most studies only describe the techniques used to remove the CTG and only discuss the outcomes in bleeding and the patient’s post-operative discomfort [8]. To date, no studies have mentioned the healing of the palatal donor area, particularly the post-operative thickness (PT).

The aim of the present study was to compare the thickness of the postoperative palatal donor area after harvesting a CTG between the healing by the first intention, compared with healing by the secondary intention, and the consequences of PT on prospective treatment planning.

Materials and Methods

A total of 16 non-smoking patients participated in this retrospective study (12 female and 4 male). None of the patients reported any previous hemorrhagic episodes after trauma during surgery, spontaneous bleeding, or any family history of hereditary bleeding disorders. All study subjects were referred to private practice in
Londrina-Parana, Brazil, for root coverage procedures. Oral consent was obtained for the collection and use of data, and the guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration were followed. The patients were divided into two groups, based on the bleeding time after CTG removal. When the bleeding stopped within 1.5 minutes or less, the primary closure procedure was used (Group 2). When the bleeding persisted for more than 1.5 minutes, the palatal flap compression procedure was performed (Group 1) [9].

Group 1 comprised 10 patients (7 female and 3 male), with a mean age of 44 years and an average of 2.83 teeth per site (range 2-4). Group 2 comprised 6 patients (5 female and 1 male), with a mean age of 40 years and an average of 3.20 teeth per site (range 2-4).

All donor areas were dentate, presented with palatal vaults, ranging between average to high, with a mean thickness of 3 mm at baseline. Probing depths, marginal tissue recession, and the palatal thickness was measured at baseline and 6 months after CTG removal, using a standard manual periodontal probe (PCP-UNC 15, Hu-Friedy). Under locally administered anesthesia, the palatal thickness was recorded using a probe inserted perpendicularly to the mucosal surface at the long axis of each tooth (mid-palatal surface), approximately 5 mm from the gingival margin, until it reached the bone. The pre- and post-treatment areas were measured to the nearest 0.5 mm.

Surgical procedures

The one-incision surgical technique [6] was performed, from the canine to the mesial of the first molar, to obtain a 1.5-mm graft thickness. At the end of the procedure, the palatal wound was treated as follows: For the first intention healing group (Group 2), a sling suture was used to join the borders of the wound, and then cyanoacrylate tissue glue was applied over the incision. For the compression sites [7], external pressure was applied to maintain the flap against the bone, until the bleeding stopped. Then, cyanoacrylate tissue glue was used to secure the flap position.

Both sutures and the cyanoacrylate tissue glue were removed after 1 week. All data were analyzed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

Results

Healing proceeded uneventfully at the palatal donor areas for both groups. The results of the study are illustrated in Table 1. Treatment with palatal compression (Group 1) resulted in a significant decrease in palatal thickness compared with the baseline measurement. The average pre- and post-operative thicknesses were 2.73 mm and 2.12 mm, respectively. In the primary closure procedure (Group 2), the results showed no significant difference in thickness compared with the baseline measurement. The average pre- and post-operative thicknesses were 2.92 mm and 3.06 mm, respectively. The mean probing depth and recession did not differ significantly between the two groups.

Discussion

The palatal masticatory mucosa is the most suitable area to obtain autogenous donor tissue for the
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![Figure 1](image-url): Post-operative view of the primary closure procedure (a) After a CTG removal, a sling suture and cyanoacrylate tissue glue were used to approximate the borders and retain the flap in position; (b) Two weeks later, the area displays a smooth and even tissue layer.
that the epithelial thickness is approximately 0.5 mm and requires vascularization from connective tissue to survive [14], among cases in which the palatal flap is extremely thin, necrosis, caused by compromised vascularization, is a common finding [15].

In contrast, for the primary closure procedure, the PT of the area was preserved, with no alterations compared with baseline (from 2.92 mm to 3.06 mm). This procedure resulted in better post-operative healing and a predictable prospective treatment plan for the patient.

Based on the results of this study, if a treatment plan includes therapy for multiple tooth recession using a CTG and the same area is required to be used more than once, the first intention healing procedure should be the procedure of choice because it preserves PT relative to the baseline. The loss of thickness, as observed following palatal compression, might compromise the prospective treatment plan and patient postoperative discomfort [16]. The clinical outcomes of this report provide guidance regarding the importance of appropriate palatal donor area manipulations after harvesting a CTG. Further studies, using larger sample sizes, remain necessary to support these conclusions.

**Conclusion**

Variations in the palatal manipulation after CTG harvesting may affect the thickness of the donor area for the second harvesting procedure. When possible,
the first intention healing should be selected since it preserved the palatal thickness. The compression of the palatal flap to control bleeding may promote a loss of flap density (volume), which may compromise future treatment planning.
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