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Abstract

Background: Dental caries has been called as scourge of modern civilization and is without doubt, one of the mankind's most prevalent chronic diseases. The concept of dental neglect may offer a viable linkage between dental health and the cultural, social and attitudinal factors.

Aim: To evaluate the role of dental behaviour as intervening factors between maternal education and socio-economic status on one hand and caries experience on the other hand.

Material and methods: This study presents demographic and attitudinal data from parents of each 800 children from age groups 10-11 and 14-15 years who were selected by a stratified random sampling technique. The association of dental neglect and caries status was tested by first dichotomizing the continuous dental neglect variable and secondly using analysis of variance to examine DMFS of individual age group respectively and their components.

Results: Study showed that dental neglect was greater among females, older children, those whose mother had less education, children who had not received dental care in previous two years, and those for whom the responding parent's last dental visit was due to problem rather than for a routine examination. Among the older children, those in higher dental neglect group had significantly higher dental caries experience (DMFS with P < 0.001), and greater number of decayed permanent tooth surface (P < 0.019).

Conclusions: Dental neglect scale may have utility in predicting and understanding variation in dental health, and to provide education to promote dental health.
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Introduction

The dental treatment is still a night mare for most of the people. Dental neglect have tight bond with dental caries. Dental caries has been called as scourge of modern civilization and is without doubt, one of the mankind’s most prevalent chronic diseases. The concept of dental neglect may offer a viable linkage between dental health and the cultural, social and attitudinal factors. Australia’s 1993 children’s protection act defines neglect as “any serious omission or commission by a person which jeopardises or impairs the child’s psychological, intellectual or physical development” [1]. A study of dental neglect among elderly Americans used data from nine questionnaire items which were used to generate subjects self-ratings of dental neglect along the three dimensions of “self-care”, “professional utilization” and, “general neglect” [2]. In the past, measurements in health epidemiology have been predominantly clinical [3]. But the effectiveness of measuring subjective health by using self-reported scales has been shown in a number of studies in recent times [4-15], which were achieved in a cheaper and less invasive manner than their clinical equivalents [16]. This could result in improved understanding of oral health problems [17]. Validity and reliability are important aspects to consider when comparing the effectiveness of self-reported scales [18]. There are many definitions available for dental neglect in various texts. Thomson WM, Spencer AJ et al. defined dental neglect as being failure to take precautions to maintain oral health, failure to obtain needed dental care and physical neglect of the oral cavity [19]. Dental neglect, as defined by the American academy of paediatric dentistry, is the “will full failure of parent or guardian to seek and follow through with treatment necessary to ensure a level of oral health essential for adequate functions and freedom from pain and infections” [20]. Dental neglect which can be considered as a type of behaviour, being developed by the parents can cause devastating effects on the oral health of their wards.

High dental neglect scores in males were associated with more decayed and missing teeth, high levels of plaque, irregular use of dental services, low self-ratings of oral health [21]. Dental caries, periodontal diseases, and other oral conditions, if left untreated, can lead to pain, infection and loss of functions. These undesirable outcomes can adversely affect learning, communications, nutrition and other activities necessary for normal growth and development [22]. Failure to seek or obtain proper dental care may result from factors such as family isolation, lack of finance, parental ignorance, or lack of perceived value of oral health [23]. The above stated studies draw interest towards this veiled factor behind the mankind’s most prevalent chronic diseases (dental caries). The aim of this study was to calculate dental neglect scores of the parents and its effect on dental health among school children of 10-11 and 14-15 years age groups.

Material and Methods

A cross sectional study was conducted in school children between...
age group of 10-11 years and 14-15 years in Udaipur city. Calculation of sample size was based on the pilot study done two month prior of the study. The required calculated sample size was 375 and 387 for the two age groups respectively. For this Udaipur City was divided into five zones- Centre, North, South, East, West and five schools from each zone were randomly selected. A total of 984 individuals belonging to 10-11 and 14-15 age groups were sent with the invitation letter. Out of which 856 reported for the study. Those individuals who were non cooperative, absent on the day of study, and with incomplete questionnaire, were excluded from the study. After applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria final sample consists of 800 children with 400 in each age group. This total is more than the calculated number of sample by the pilot study. The association of dental neglect and caries status was tested by first dichotomizing the continuous dental neglect variables and secondly using analysis of variance to examine DMFS of individual age group respectively and their components. Selected children of these age groups were sent with a letter which asked their parents to visit school on the respective day for participation in the study. Proforma was prepared to collect the data about the dental neglect [24]. Proforma contain a comprehensive questionnaire in which dental neglect was investigated by capturing data about the dental neglect [24]. Proforma contains seven statements. The response to seven statements were from “definitely no” to five (“definitely yes”) the statements were [19].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Definitely no</th>
<th>Definitely yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintain his/her dental care</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83 (10.4)</td>
<td>158 (19.8)</td>
<td>62 (7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receive needed dental care</td>
<td>65 (8.1)</td>
<td>177 (22.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs dental care: parent defers</td>
<td>201 (26.3)</td>
<td>326 (40.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs dental care child defers</td>
<td>139 (17.4)</td>
<td>326 (40.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brushes as well as he/she should</td>
<td>22 (2.8)</td>
<td>165 (20.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls between meal snacking</td>
<td>21 (2.6)</td>
<td>103 (12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Considers dental health important</td>
<td>29 (3.6)</td>
<td>129 (16.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Response distribution percentage to dental neglect items.

Table 2 shows the relationship between dental neglect and dental

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>House hold income</td>
<td>22.03</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of income</td>
<td>21.88</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental visiting pattern</td>
<td>22.11</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine</td>
<td>21.77</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom driven</td>
<td>22.20</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education level</td>
<td>21.52</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary only</td>
<td>23.18</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>21.29</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>21.52</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental service use in previous two year</td>
<td>22.40</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free treatment camp</td>
<td>22.12</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private dentist</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both types</td>
<td>21.91</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither type</td>
<td>21.91</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Mean dental neglect scores by key background variables.

Results

Table 1 illustrates the response distribution for the seven statements. The response to seven statements were from “definitely no” to “definitely yes”. Responses to statements 1, 2, 5, 6 and 7 were having “definitely yes” and for statements 3 and 4 result is “definitely no”.

Table 2 illustrates association between background characteristics and mean dental neglect scores. Higher scores were apparent for children who were females, from joint families, from house hold with incomes of 1,20,000 Rupees per year, the income was derived from salary/wages, had parents who did not make routine dental visits; or who had not made a dental visit in the previous two years. Exclusive users of private dental services had lower dental neglect scores.

Table 3 shows the relationship between dental neglect and dental

package for social sciences (SPSS) software version 20.0 was used for data processing and data analysis. The statistical significant difference among groups was determined by t test and Kruskal Wallis test. The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

### Quality of data

Inter and intra examiner variability between three trained examiners (Dentist) for the diagnosis of decayed, missing and filled teeth in both dentition were calculated to be minimal (kappa coefficient > 0.7) when tested two days prior to study.

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Darshan dental college ethical committee and informed consents were obtained from the parents of the subject's.

Data collected was entered into the spreadsheets. The statistical
by various studies [26,32]. Mother’s determination towards dental health and low maternal education has a widely documented role as that of people from developed countries. Dental treatment is a major contributor in developing countries then not significantly influence the attitude of people towards dental economic strata, whereas in our study socio-economic status does high cost of dental treatment which is unaffordable by low socio important role in dental care, it also shows that high dental neglect scores were apparent for children who were not visited to dentist due to problem. But Chen M [26], Crawford AN visited to dentist due to problem. But Chen M [26], Crawford AN showed that children whose parent’s dental visiting pattern is more habitual and 6). The importance of dental health (statement 2, 3 and 4) and those who explore home care (1, 5 marked difference between response to items referring to professional care statements, which are in association with Thomson et al. [19]. Dental neglect in this study is positively associated with the child not receiving dental care in the previous two years and in children whose parents had visited to dentist due to problem. But Chen M [26], Crawford AN et al. [27], Whittle JG et al. [28], and Barnard PD et al. [29] shows that children whose parent’s dental visiting pattern is more habitual would have lower dental neglect. In previous studies [29,30], high neglect scores were reported in males but in our study high score is recorded in females as they must be more relevant towards dental treatment, they are more anxious and have a fear towards it.

The study conducted by Thomson WM et al. [19], suggested that higher dental neglect scores were apparent for children who were not from two parent families, which is in accordance to our study. This must be due to the numbers of siblings are more and parents and others elder are not able to give proper attention towards child dental health in joint families.

According to Silver DH et al. [31], socio-economic status plays an important role in dental care, it also shows that high dental neglect is associated with low income group and the reason concluded was high cost of dental treatment which is unaffordable by low socio economic strata, where as in our study socio-economic status does not significantly influence the attitude of people towards dental treatment. The authors conclude that lack of awareness towards dental treatment is a major contributor in developing countries then that of people from developed countries.

Education of mother plays a very important role in a child dental health and low maternal education has a widely documental role as a risk indicator for caries in the present study which is supported by various studies [26,32]. Mother’s determination towards dental treatment has to have a profound influence on child’s attitude towards dentistry. Highly anxious mothers may have their children displaying negative and uncooperative behaviours. By the analysis of dental health status and dental neglect in the present study it has been noticed that higher D (decayed) component of DMFS was among the 14-15 Year age group, correspond to higher dental neglect scores. This brings a conclusion that those children whose parent reported with higher dental neglect have more untreated caries. This must be due to lack of interest towards dental care among this group.

The Dental Neglect Scale appears to be a sound method for objectifying dental neglect. It has many of the features of a satisfactory health index; it is easily measured, apparently unaffected by the observation process, and able to be manipulated statistically.

### Discussion

Neglected child is one who had evidence of physical and mental health primarily due to failure on the part of parent or caretaker to provide adequately for child needs. In our study the usefulness of child dental neglect has produced some encouraging observation with relation to DMFS score.

The distribution of replies to the seven items (Table 1) suggests a marked difference between response to items referring to professional care (statement 2, 3 and 4) and those who explore home care (1, 5 and 6). The importance of dental health (statement 7) appears to represent a different dimension to home care, and has a rather different distribution to these of the professional care statements, which are in association with Thomson et al. [19]. Dental neglect in this study is positively associated with the child not receiving dental care in the previous two years and in children whose parents had visited to dentist due to problem. But Chen M [26], Crawford AN et al. [27], Whittle JG et al. [28], and Barnard PD et al. [29] shows that children whose parent’s dental visiting pattern is more habitual would have lower dental neglect. In previous studies [29,30], high neglect scores were reported in males but in our study high score is recorded in females as they must be more relevant towards dental treatment, they are more anxious and have a fear towards it.

The study conducted by Thomson WM et al. [19], suggested that higher dental neglect scores were apparent for children who were not from two parent families, which is in accordance to our study. This must be due to the numbers of siblings are more and parents and others elder are not able to give proper attention towards child dental health in joint families.

According to Silver DH et al. [31], socio-economic status plays an important role in dental care, it also shows that high dental neglect is associated with low income group and the reason concluded was high cost of dental treatment which is unaffordable by low socio economic strata, where as in our study socio-economic status does not significantly influence the attitude of people towards dental treatment. The authors conclude that lack of awareness towards dental treatment is a major contributor in developing countries then that of people from developed countries.

Education of mother plays a very important role in a child dental health and low maternal education has a widely documental role as a risk indicator for caries in the present study which is supported by various studies [26,32]. Mother’s determination towards dental treatment has to have a profound influence on child’s attitude towards dentistry. Highly anxious mothers may have their children displaying negative and uncooperative behaviours. By the analysis of dental health status and dental neglect in the present study it has been noticed that higher D (decayed) component of DMFS was among the 14-15 Year age group, correspond to higher dental neglect scores. This brings a conclusion that those children whose parent reported with higher dental neglect have more untreated caries. This must be due to lack of interest towards dental care among this group.

The Dental Neglect Scale appears to be a sound method for objectifying dental neglect. It has many of the features of a satisfactory health index; it is easily measured, apparently unaffected by the observation process, and able to be manipulated statistically.

### Conclusions

Dental neglect is a much neglected factor having valid contribution on oral health status not only on an individual but on the generation to come. This paper clearly indicates poor dental health among children with parents exhibiting higher dental neglect score. It has already been proved that education level and socioeconomic status is a major contributor towards oral health of an individual, but person with lower dental neglect score in spite of being well educated or affluent are not demonstrating a regular dental visiting pattern. Therefore education programs with specific attention are required for parents to increase knowledge, understanding and practices that foster improved oral health.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Lower dental neglect</th>
<th>Higher dental neglect</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Younger children 10-11 years</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older children 14-15 years</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Decayed surfaces</th>
<th>Missing surfaces</th>
<th>Filled surfaces</th>
<th>DMFS</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Younger children 10-11 years</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older children 14-15 years</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>