Bilateral Simultaneous Distal Biceps Rupture: A Case Report and Review of the Literature
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Introduction

Overall, complete rupture of the distal biceps tendon is a rare injury with less than 500 cases reported in literature [1]. Distal biceps tendon tears frequently occur in males after the fifth decade of life as a result of forceful, sudden eccentric contraction [2]. Females are less frequently affected. Anabolic steroids may contribute to tendon pathology with one published case report [3]. In general, the dominant extremity is more commonly involved [4]. Simultaneous distal biceps tendon ruptures are much less common with only four reports published in literature [2,5-7]. The current study is a review of the literature and case report of simultaneous distal biceps tendon ruptures occurring during a pull up.

Case Report

The patient is a 45-year-old right hand dominant male that presented to the office with chief complaint of bilateral elbow pain. He had no significant past medical or surgical history, but stated he was an avid weightlifter. He reported performing a pull up several days prior to presentation and while lowering down, experienced a “pop” in both elbows with immediate pain and cramping. Over the next day he noticed increased swelling and ecchymosis over both elbows extending to the forearms and a deformity in both arms, more pronounced on the left. He presented to an emergency room for evaluation and was discharged with instructions to follow up in the Orthopaedic office. The patient denied any previous injuries or problems with both elbows as well as anabolic steroid use.

On physical examination, visual inspection demonstrated residual swelling within the antecubital fossae and ecchymosis extending to the proximal lateral forearm of both elbows, but more extensive on the left. There was an obvious deformity of both biceps musculature with proximal retraction of the muscle belly on the left. The deformity was accentuated with resisted elbow flexion. Both elbows demonstrated localized tenderness directly over the biceps tendon. On the right, the biceps tendon was palpable in the antecubital fossa, but not on the left. Both elbows demonstrated normal range of motion with 0-135 degrees of flexion and 80 degrees of pronation/supination. Strength testing demonstrated 4+/5 with supination and flexion on the right, 4/5 on the left. The patient was otherwise neurovascularly intact distally.

Plain radiographs of both elbows were obtained which did not demonstrate any acute osseous abnormalities (Figure 1A and Figure 1B). The patient underwent bilateral elbow Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) which revealed bilateral full-thickness rupture of the distal biceps tendon with 5.9 cm retraction on the left and 1.4 cm retraction on the right (Figure 2A and Figure 2B).

Treatment options were discussed with the patient including simultaneous bilateral surgery versus a staged operation. A staged operation was preferred to allow use of one arm for activities of daily living. The left biceps was addressed first due to the extent of tendon retraction as compared to the right. A single transverse incision was made 4 cm distal to the elbow flexion crease. Surgical exploration demonstrated complete...
avulsion of the distal biceps tendon with significant proximal retraction. Once the tendon was identified and mobilized, an Arthrex fiber loop suture was placed in the distal stump. The radial tuberosity was then identified and verified under fluoroscopy. A 6 mm reamer was used to create a bone tunnel in the radial tuberosity

Figure 1: Figure 1A and Figure 1B represent AP, lateral, and oblique X-rays of the left and right elbows respectively (A) AP, lateral and oblique X-rays of the left elbow; (B) AP, lateral, and oblique X-rays of the right elbow.

Figure 2: Figure 2A and Figure 2B represents a sagittal MRI of the left elbow demonstrating a complete rupture of the distal biceps tendon with 5.9 cm retraction and surrounding edema; (B) represents a sagittal MRI of the right elbow demonstrating a complete rupture of the distal biceps tendon with 1.4 cm retraction.
biceps tendon was identified proximally and had extensive scarring which was debrided for mobilization. The Arthrex fiber loop suture with distal biceps button was used for anchoring as previously done on the left and the patient immobilized in a long arm plaster splint for 1 week.

Post-operatively, the patient was transition to a sling 1 week after surgery on the right with gentle passive elbow flexion. Formal physical therapy for range of motion and gradual strengthening was initiated at 6 weeks post-operatively. At his latest follow up, 6 months following surgery on the left and four and a half months on the right, the patient had full range of motion on the left with 0-135 degrees of flexion, 80 supination/pronation as well a normal biceps musculature contour and full strength. On the right he had similar findings, with 0-130 flexion, 80 supination/pronation as well as full strength and muscle contour.

Discussion

Rupture of the distal biceps tendon is an uncommon injury with an incidence of 1.2 per 100,000, mainly affecting males after the fifth decade of life [2,8]. Injury occurs secondary to a forceful eccentric contraction of the biceps tendon [9]. Treatment options of distal biceps tendon ruptures include non-operative or surgical repair. Non-operative intervention may result in 30% loss of flexion strength and 40-50% of supination strength [10]. Operative intervention includes primary direct repair or reconstruction in cases of delayed diagnosis due to significant tendon retraction and scarring [2].

Direct primary repair of distal biceps tendon ruptures results in satisfactory subjective and objective results [4]. Primary repair is usually best if completed within the first 6 weeks [7]. Chronic tears may require reconstruction of the tendon. Several investigators have reported satisfactory results with reconstruction options but variable strength recovery [11,12]. Kaplan, et al. reported satisfactory results using fascia lata autograft combined with ligament augmentation but had unpredictable return of strength [11]. Sanchez-Sotelo, et al. reported results on four patients with chronic distal biceps ruptures repaired with Achilles allograft and found comparable strength with flexion and supination in two patients but decreased in the remaining two patients [12].

Reports of simultaneous distal biceps tendon ruptures are limited in literature with only four published cases as seen in Table 1 [2,5-7]. Bilateral ruptures are challenging to address as all repair and reconstruction methods require a period of immobilization followed by gradual rehabilitation which can make self-care and activities of daily living difficult to manage. Three of the four previously reported cases were managed in a staged fashion with variable interval between the operations, although the surgical timing is unclear in one.
In summary, simultaneous distal biceps tendon ruptures are rare with only four cases reported in literature [2,5-7]. Distal biceps tendon injuries frequently occur as a result of forceful eccentric muscle contraction, as seen in this patient, during the descending portion of a pull up. Surgical planning is crucial to achieve optimal outcome for these injuries as chronic cases may require reconstruction options which have variable strength recovery compared to primary repair. In this case, staged primary repair was performed addressing the more retracted side first. The left distal biceps tendon was primary repaired 2 weeks following injury date and the right at approximately 8 weeks. Staged intervention is a feasible option for simultaneous bilateral distal biceps ruptures since it allows use of one arm for activities of daily living. Satisfactory subjective and objective outcomes for both elbows were obtained.
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