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**Abstract**

Ossified cephalhematoma is rare and usually occur in newborns due to birth injury. Some ossified cephalhematomas can resorb spontaneously while others require surgery because of cosmetic reasons. Simple excision of new bone is usually sufficient. It should be also differentiated from another clinical entity called intradiploic hematoma which requires surgery. In reported cases, of infant intradiploic hematoma cases clinical and radiological findings are similar to those of ossified cephalhematoma. We believed that the thickness of skull is preserved in the cases of ossified cephalhematoma while thickness of inner and outer tables of skull are significantly decreased in the cases of intradiploic hematoma.
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**Introduction**

Cephalhematoma is the blood collection between the pericranium and skull. It usually occur in parietal region of the newborns, associated with birth injury secondary to use of forceps or vacuum device [1,2].

Most of the cephalhematomas resorb spontaneously within 4-6 weeks. However very rarely, displaced periost induce subperiostal osteogenesis resulting in ossified cephalhematoma. Large ossified cephalhematomas are rare and cause cosmetic deformity requiring surgical correction.

Another patology that should be differentiated from ossified cephalhematomasis intradiploic hematoma of the skull [3-5]. Intradiploic hematoma is the expanding bleeding within the diploe between internal and external tables of the skull, following mild head injury. In this report we present a case of large ossified cephalhematoma with a discussion about differential diagnosis.

**Case Report**

A 40-day-old female baby was admitted to the hospital with 7 × 8 cm hard left parietal mass. She was delivered vaginally using forceps and the mass was growing since birth. The patient was neurologically intact and blood tests for coagulation disorders were normal.

ACT scan showed left parietal extracranial hypodense mass surrounded with bone density Shell (Figure 1). This apperance is well known as double skull and is characteristic for the ossified cephalhematoma. The contour of the skull is normal. Excision of new bone was planned but the parents of the patient refused the surgery.

**Discussion**

Detachment of pericranium (periost) layer due to inward outward movement of the flexible neonatal skull during the trauma causes subperiostal bleeding. Incidence of cephalhematoma changes between 0.2-2%. In only 3-5% of them subperiostal osteogenesis occurs and ossified cephal hematoma developes although most of them resolve spontaneously [1,2].

Histopathologic examination of the new bone shows mature bony structures therefore the term ossification is more appropriate than calcification to describe the process [1].

Ossified cephalhematoma usually does not cause neurological symptoms however, surgery is indicated for the cosmetic reasons. On the basis of surgical ap-
Figure 1: A CT scan shows left parietal extracranial mass with bony shell. A double skull appearance is seen.

proach ossified cephalhematomas is classified in two types. In type 1, the contour of the skull is normal while in type 2 depression of the original skull is present [6].

CT is essential for the diagnosis and excluding the other pathologies. However, there are some reports describing intradiploic hematomas in newborns and infants with same clinical and radiological findings [3-5]. We believed that the correct diagnosis may be ossified cephalhematoma in most of these cases. The main confusion about the diagnosis is the misinterpretation of new bone as outer lamella. Some ossified cephalhematomas can resorb spontaneously, therefore it is mandatory to differentiate it from intradiploic hematomas which require surgery. In ossified cephalhematoma cases, the contour and the thickness of the skull under the hematoma is preserved (type 1 ossified cephalhematoma). In type 2 ossified cephalhematoma cases, although light depression of the skull is present, the thickness of skull is still nearly preserved [6]. MRI usually shows the hematoma content as hyperintensity on T1 weighted images and its help is limited in the demonstration of bony structures [2]. Intradiploic hematomas is rare and usually occur in adults with frontal predilection, secondary to mild injury [7]. Encroachment to intracranial cavity causing neurological deficit and elevated intracranial pressure is common. Thickness of internal and external tables decrease significantly.

Surgical treatment of ossified cephalhematoma type 1 is the simple excision of the new bone formation. Some authors prefer crainotomy and remodelling the bone in type 2 cases [6]. However in type 2 cases with slight depression of cranium, simple excision of the bone cape is usually sufficient.

Conclusion

Ossified cephalhematoma is rare and usually occur in newborns due to birth injury. Some ossified cephalhematomas can resorb spontaneously while others require surgery because of cosmetic reasons. It should be also differentiated from another clinical entity called intradiploic hematoma which requires surgery. Simple excision of the new bone formation is usually sufficient.
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